High-order tail in Kerr spacetime

Marc Casals,[1,2,*] Chris Kavanagh,[2,†] and Adrian C. Ottewill[2,‡]

[1] *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, CEP 22290-180, Brazil.*
[2] *School of Mathematics and Statistics and Complex & Adaptive Systems Laboratory, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland.*

We investigate the late-time tail of the retarded Green function for the dynamics of a linear field perturbation of Kerr spacetime. We develop an analytical formalism for obtaining the late-time tail up to arbitrary order for general integer spin of the field. We then apply this formalism to obtain the details of the first five orders in the late-time tail of the Green function for the case of a scalar field: to leading order we recover the known power law tail $t^{-2\ell-3}$, and at third order we obtain a logarithmic correction, $t^{-2\ell-5}\ln t$, where ℓ is the field multipole.

arXiv:1608.05392v1 [gr-qc] 18 Aug 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the mode-decomposition of linear field perturbations of spherical (Schwarzschild) and axially-symmetric (Kerr) black hole spacetimes has a long history in the General Relativity literature. Such study has been applied to a broad range of astrophysical questions such as stability of black holes (e.g., [1, 2] in Schwarzschild spacetime and [3–5] in Kerr spacetime), the self-force on a point particle moving on a curved background [6] and the end stages of gravitational collapse and of mergers of black holes. These end stages typically present an exponentially-decaying 'ringdown' in the field (which was observed in the historical detection of gravitational waves by the Laser Interferometer gravitational-wave Observatory [7]) followed by a late-time behaviour.

The late-time behaviour of scalar (spin-0), electromagnetic (spin-1) and gravitational (spin-2) field perturbations was first presented by Price [8, 9], in the case of Schwarzschild spacetime. Price found that the multipole-ℓ field moments behave at late times (and fixed radius) as a power law decay of $t_S^{-2\ell-3}$, where t_S is the standard Schwarzschild time and ℓ is the field multipole. This has henceforth been referred to as the late-time power law-tail of the Schwarzschild black hole and can be interpreted astrophysically as the method with which a star undergoing spherically-symmetric gravitational collapse settles down finally into a black hole with 'no hair' (i.e., its only conserved charges are its mass and – if it possesses any – its angular momentum and electrical charge). The mathematics of this calculation was refined by Leaver [10] via an analysis in the complex-frequency domain of the retarded Green function of the wave equation satisfied by the field. By deforming the Fourier-integration contour in the complex-frequency plane, Leaver identified the source of the power law as coming from the branch cut that the Fourier modes of the Green function possess. In particular, he noted that it was the low-frequency asymptotics of the branch cut that gave the dominant contribution to the Green function at late times. Using this insight into the nature of the decay tail, Hod calculated the leading-order branch cut contribution in Kerr spacetime finding the decay tail for fields of spin-0, -1 and -2, all at asymptotic null infinity, timelike infinity and at the event horizon [11, 12]. The result at timelike infinity is that all scalar, electromagnetic and gravitational fields decay at late times as $t^{-2\ell-3}$, where t is the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate and ℓ is the multipole number corresponding to a decomposition in spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics. In a parallel series of works, Barack and Ori also calculated the leading order decay tail in Kerr spacetime: in [13] for the scalar field at null infinity, timelike infinity and on the event horizon, and in [14] for the electromagnetic and gravitational fields on the event horizon. Their analysis however, was performed in the time domain, and in contrast to Hod's results, was valid at arbitrary radii. Recently, it has been observed [5] that an additional branch cut in the case of extreme Kerr gives rise to an instability at late times of the event horizon of this black hole, thus generalizing previous results by Aretakis for axisymmetric perturbations [15, 16]. Further to these works, there have also been many numerical investigations of the decay tails in Kerr spacetime in various asymptotic regimes. Much difficulty is encountered in these simulations due to different choices of co-ordinates and harmonic bases, see [17] and references therein.

While the above results provide much physical insight into the nature of disturbances to the spacetime, they are not entirely sufficient for the applications of black hole perturbation theory to the calculation of the self-force. The calculation of the self-force is important in order to model accurately the emission of gravitational waves by a black hole inspiral in the extreme (or even intermediate [18]) mass-ratio regime (EMRIs and IMRIs). In [19], the self-force

* mcasals@cbpf.br,marc.casals@ucd.ie.
† christopher.kavanagh@ucdconnect.ie.
‡ adrian.ottewill@ucd.ie.

was calculated in the case of a scalar charge on Schwarschild spacetime by integrating the Green function over the past worldline of the charge. The Green function, in its turn, was calculated via Leaver's technique of contour-deformation and it was observed that, for the branch cut contribution, the leading low-frequency asymptotics was not sufficient in order to obtain the self-force accurately 'enough'. It was discovered that while the exponentially decaying quasi-normal modes are dominant at intermediate times, the omission of the branch cut at these times (which comes from the branch cut modes to higher order in the frequency, as calculated in, e.g., [20–24]) can lead to noticable errors, dispelling the identification of the branch cut with solely late times. A more accurate statement would be that the branch cut becomes *dominant* at late times.

Combining Leaver's technique with the advances in analytic black hole perturbation theory provided by the method of Mano, Suzuki and Tagoshi (MST) [25, 26], two of us were able to calculate the higher order corrections to Price's decay tail in Schwarzschild spacetime at arbitrary radii [23]. In calculating the corrections, it was shown that the purely power-law nature, $t_S^{-2\ell-3}$, is 'corrupted' by logarithmic terms at next-to-next-to-leading order, $t_S^{-2\ell-5}\ln t$. These terms allowed for a better approximation of the global Green function in Schwarzschild spacetime needed for the past-history integral found in self force calculations, such as that in [19].

In this paper we present an extension of the calculation of [23] to (sub-extremal) Kerr spacetime. We develop the MST method for the calculation of the branch cut contribution to the retarded Green function for field perturbations of general integer spin in Kerr. We then apply this formalism to calculate explicitly the late-time behaviour of a massless scalar field up to five orders. We use Boyer-Lindquist time t. Our leading order agrees with the literature results in Kerr, i.e., $t^{-2\ell-3}$. We then find that a new logarithmic correction appears at next-to-next-to-leading order, i.e., $t^{-2\ell-5}\ln t$, as in Schwarzschild. Finally, we compare our results with the fundamentally independent evaluation of the Green function via a real-frequency evaluation of the Fourier integral.

The layout of the rest of this paper is as follows. In Sec.II we introduce the retarded Green function of the Teukolsky equation for spin-field perturbations of Kerr space-time. In Sec.III we summarize the main MST equations, already given in the literature, and which we need for later on. In Sec.IV we introduce the deformation of the frequency-integral into the complex frequency plane. In Sec.V we develop the formalism for the branch cut integral and obtain analytical expressions for the Green function modes along the branch cut. We obtain small-frequency expansions of the radius-independent part of these modes in Sec.VI and of the radial functions in Sec.VII. We put together these results in Sec.VIII, where we give the late-time tail of the Green function up to the first five orders. We conclude the main body in Sec.IX with a discussion. We have two appendices. In App.A we show that extra branch cuts that the angular eigenvalues and eigenfunctions have do not contribute to the Green function after summing over ℓ. In App.B we give small-frequency expansions for the series coefficients and for MST's so-called renormalized angular momentum parameter. We choose units $c = G = 1$ and, wherever ommited, $M = 1$.

II. GREEN FUNCTION FOR THE TEUKOLSKY EQUATION

The study of linear field perturbations ψ on Kerr spacetime in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $\{t, r, \theta, \phi\}$ and in the Kinnersley tetrad can be described in a unified way by the Teukolsky equation [27],

$$\left[\frac{(r^2+a^2)^2}{\Delta} - a^2\sin^2\theta\right]\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4Mar}{\Delta}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t\partial\varphi} + \left[\frac{a^2}{\Delta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}\right]\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\varphi^2} - \Delta^{-s}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\Delta^{s+1}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial r}\right) - \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(\sin\theta\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\theta}\right)$$
$$-2s\left[\frac{a(r-M)}{\Delta} + \frac{i\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta}\right]\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} - 2s\left[\frac{M(r^2-a^2)}{\Delta} - r - ia\cos\theta\right]\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + (s^2\cot^2\theta - s)\psi = 4\pi\Sigma\cdot T, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Delta \equiv r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 = (r - r_+)(r - r_-)$, $\Sigma \equiv r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta$, T is the matter source term and s denotes the spin of interest, $s = 0$, 1 and 2 for scalar, electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations respectively (the Teukolsky equation (2.1) is also valid for $s = 1/2$ but we shall not consider this spin in this paper). The parameters M and a denote, respectively, the mass and angular momentum per unit mass. Here, $r_\pm \equiv M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ are the outer (event) horizon (r_+) and inner (Cauchy) horizon (r_-). The Teukolsky equation (2.1) can be solved by calculating a Green function satisfying

$$\mathcal{T}G(x, x') = 4\pi\Sigma\cdot\delta_4(x, x'), \quad (2.2)$$

where x and x' are points in Kerr spacetime, $\mathcal{T}$ is the differential operator on the left hand side of Eq.(2.1), and $\delta_4(x, x') \equiv \delta_4(x - x')/\sqrt{|g|}$ is an invariant 4-dimensional dirac delta distribution, where $g = -\Sigma^2\sin^2\theta$ is the determinant of the metric. Teukolsky also showed that, in the frequency domain, his equation can be separated into radial and angular components by using the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics. For the Green function this is achieved by

writing

$$G(x,x') = 2\sum_{\ell=|s|}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell}\int_{-\infty+ic}^{\infty+ic} d\omega\, e^{-i\omega t+im\phi}\, {}_sS_{\ell m\omega}(\theta)\, {}_sS^{*}_{\ell m\omega}(\theta')G_{\ell m}(r,r';\omega), \tag{2.3}$$

for some $c > 0$, where ${}_sS_{\ell m\omega}$ are the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics [28, 29]. Here we have made use of the axisymmetry and stationarity of Kerr space-time to set $t' = 0$ and $\phi' = 0$, without loss of generality. The Fourier modes $G_{\ell m}$ of the Green function G_{ret} are then themselves Green functions of the radial Teukolsky equation:

$$\left[\Delta^{-s}\frac{d}{dr}\left(\Delta^{s+1}\frac{d}{dr}\right) + \frac{K^2 - 2is(r-M)K}{\Delta} + 4is\omega r - {}_s\lambda_{\ell m\omega}\right] G_{\ell m}(r,r';\omega) = \delta(r-r') \tag{2.4}$$

where $K \equiv (r^2+a^2)\omega - am$, and ${}_s\lambda_{\ell m\omega}$ is an eigenvalue of the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonic equation.

A radial Green function can be constructed from two linearly independent homogeneous solutions satisfying certain boundary conditions at infinity and at the horizon. A physically-relevant pair of linearly independent solutions are the 'ingoing' and 'upgoing' solutions defined by the following boundary conditions:

$$R^{\rm in}_{\ell m}(r,\omega) \sim \begin{cases} B^{\rm tra}\Delta^{-s}e^{-i\tilde{\omega}r_*}, & r\to r_+, \\ r^{-2s-1}B^{\rm ref}e^{i\omega r_*} + r^{-1}B^{\rm inc}e^{-i\omega r_*}, & r\to\infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

$$R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}(r,\omega) \sim \begin{cases} C^{\rm inc}e^{i\tilde{\omega}r_*} + C^{\rm ref}\Delta^{-s}e^{-i\tilde{\omega}r_*}, & r\to r_+, \\ r^{-2s-1}C^{\rm tra}e^{i\omega r_*}, & r\to\infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\tilde{\omega} \equiv \omega - m\Omega_H$, $\Omega_H \equiv a/(r_+^2+a^2)$ is the angular velocity of the black hole and $B^{\rm inc/ref/tra}$ and $C^{\rm inc/ref/tra}$ are complex coefficients. Here we have defined the tortoise coordinate r_* via $\dfrac{dr_*}{dr} = \dfrac{(r^2+a^2)}{\Delta}$ as

$$r_* = r + \frac{2M}{r_+ - r_-}\left\{r_+\ln\left|\frac{r-r_+}{2M}\right| - r_-\ln\left|\frac{r-r_-}{2M}\right|\right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

It is convenient to define new solutions $\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}$ and $\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ which are 'ingoing' and 'upgoing' with transmission coefficient equal to one:

$$\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m} \equiv \frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m}}{B^{\rm tra}}, \quad \hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m} \equiv \frac{R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}}{C^{\rm tra}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Consequently, they satisfy the following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}(r,\omega) &\sim \Delta^{-s}e^{-i\omega_+ r_*}, \quad r_*\to-\infty, \\ \hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}(r,\omega) &\sim r^{-1-2s}e^{+i\omega r_*}, \quad r_*\to+\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The boundary conditions (2.9) determine the two solutions $R^{\rm in}_{\ell m}$ and $R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ to the radial equation uniquely for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. These boundary conditions also define $R^{in/up}_{\ell m}$ unambiguously for $\mathrm{Im}(\omega) \geq 0$ when $r_* \in \mathbb{R}$. In $\mathrm{Im}(\omega) < 0$, with $r_* \in \mathbb{R}$, the solution $R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ is defined by analytic continuation.

The radial Green function which specifically yields the *retarded* Green function via Eq.(2.3) can be expressed as

$$G_{\ell m}(r,r';\omega) = -\frac{\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}(r_<,\omega)\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}(r_>,\omega)}{W}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $r_< \equiv \min(r,r'), r_> \equiv \max(r,r')$, and W is the constant Wronskian

$$W \equiv \Delta^{s+1}\bar{W}(\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}, \hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}) \equiv \Delta^{s+1}\left(\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}\frac{d\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}}{dr} - \hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}\frac{d\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}}{dr}\right). \tag{2.11}$$

In the next section we give analytical MST expressions for the radial solutions and radial coefficients.

III. MST METHOD

Many of the results presented will be given using the terminology of Mano, Suzuki and Takasugi [25]. For ease of reading we will now give the relevant expressions for our calculations. For a complete disposition on the MST methodology we direct the reader to the review by Sasaki and Tagoshi [26].

The solutions satisfying the retarded boundary conditions of ingoing radiation at the horizon and upgoing at infinity are given by MST as infinite sums of hypergeometric functions and irregular confluent hypergeometric functions in various forms depending on required radii of convergence. We note that these MST series yield a specific normalization for the 'in' and 'up' solutions, which we shall give explicitly.

The horizon solution is given as a series of hypergeometric functions as

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\rm in}_{\ell m} &= e^{i\epsilon\kappa x}(-x)^{-s-i(\epsilon+\tau)/2}(1-x)^{i(\epsilon-\tau)/2}p^{\nu}_{\rm in}(x), \\ p^{\nu}_{\rm in} &\equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n p_{n+\nu}(x), \\ p_{n+\nu}(x) &\equiv {}_2F_1(n+\nu+1-i\tau, -n-\nu-i\tau; 1-s-i\epsilon-i\tau; x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $x \equiv \omega(r_+ - r)/(\epsilon\kappa)$, $\epsilon \equiv 2M\omega$, $\kappa \equiv \sqrt{1-q^2}$, $q \equiv a/M$ and $\tau \equiv (\epsilon - mq)/\kappa$. Here, the series coefficients a_n are calculated using a three-term recurrence relation given by:

$$\alpha^{\nu}_n a_{n+1} + \beta^{\nu}_n a_n + \gamma^{\nu}_n a_{n-1} = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\alpha^{\nu}_n = \frac{i\epsilon\kappa(n+\nu+1+s+i\epsilon)(n+\nu+1+s-i\epsilon)(n+\nu+1+i\tau)}{(n+\nu+1)(2n+2\nu+3)}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\beta^{\nu}_n = -\lambda - s(s+1) + (n+\nu)(n+\nu+1) + \epsilon^2 + \epsilon(\epsilon-mq) + \frac{\epsilon(\epsilon-mq)(s^2+\epsilon^2)}{(n+\nu)(n+\nu+1)}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\gamma^{\nu}_n = -\frac{i\epsilon\kappa(n+\nu-s+i\epsilon)(n+\nu-s-i\epsilon)(n+\nu-i\tau)}{(n+\nu)(2n+2\nu-1)}. \tag{3.5}$$

The parameter ν is then calculated to guarantee that a_n is the minimal solution of Eq.(3.2) both as $n \to \infty$ and as $n \to -\infty$. For small ϵ, this value admits the expansion

$$\nu = \ell + \nu_2\epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3), \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\nu_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2\ell+1}\left(-2 - \frac{s^2}{\ell(\ell+1)} + \frac{\left((\ell+1)^2-s^2\right)^2}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+2)(2\ell+3)} - \frac{\left(\ell^2-s^2\right)^2}{(2\ell-1)2\ell(2\ell+1)}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

In App.B we give an expansions for ν up to order ϵ^4 for spin-0. In its turn, the value of ν chosen as indicated guarantees that the series in Eq.(3.1) converges for all $|x| < \infty$.

We note that the radial Teukolsky equation is invariant under complex conjugation together with $m \to -m$ and $\omega \to -\omega$. We shall therefore assume $\mathrm{Re}(\omega) > 0$ from now on without loss of generality. A solution for $R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ as a series of confluent hypergeometric functions is

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\rm up}_{\ell m} &= 2^{\nu}e^{-\pi\epsilon}e^{-i\pi(\nu+1+s)}e^{i\hat{z}}\hat{z}^{\nu+i\epsilon_+}(\hat{z}-\epsilon\kappa)^{-s-i\epsilon_+} \\ &\quad\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} i^n \frac{(\nu+1+s-i\epsilon)_n}{(\nu+1-s+i\epsilon)_n} a_n (2\hat{z})^n U(n+\nu+1+s-i\epsilon, 2n+2\nu+2; -2i\hat{z}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where the a_n series coefficients are the same as those in Eq.(3.1), $\hat{z} \equiv \omega(r-r_-) = \epsilon\kappa(1-x)$ and $\epsilon_+ \equiv (\epsilon+\tau)/2$. We use $(z)_n$ to denote the Pochhammer symbol $(z)_n = \Gamma(z+n)/\Gamma z$. The series in Eq.(3.8) is convergent for $r > r_+$ when ν is calculated as mentioned above. In determining $R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$, MST also give another solution, R^{ν}_+, to the Teukolsky equation which has boundary condition

$$R^{\nu}_+ \sim R^{\rm tra}_+ \frac{e^{-i\omega r_*}}{r}, \quad r \to \infty, \tag{3.9}$$

where $R^{\rm tra}_+$ is a coefficient that we determine below. This solution can also be expressed as a series of confluent hypergeometric functions:

$$R^{\nu}_{+} = 2^{\nu} e^{-\pi\epsilon} e^{i\pi(\nu+1-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1-s+i\epsilon)}{\Gamma(\nu+1+s-i\epsilon)} e^{-i\hat{z}} \hat{z}^{\nu+i\epsilon_+} (\hat{z}-\epsilon\kappa)^{-s-i\epsilon_+} \times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} i^n a_n (2\hat{z})^n U(n+\nu+1-s+i\epsilon, 2n+2\nu+2; 2i\hat{z}). \tag{3.10}$$

We shall use the solution in Eq.(3.10) later. Finally, MST give expressions for $R^{\rm in}_{\ell m}$ and $R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ which are valid at infinity and the horizon respectively, however we will omit these here. Using all of these expressions, the asymptotic amplitudes can be calculated [26], we give those relevant to our results:

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\rm tra} =& \left(\frac{\epsilon\kappa}{\omega}\right)^{2s} e^{i\kappa\epsilon_+(1+2\log\kappa/(1+\kappa))} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n, \\ B^{\rm inc} =& \omega^{-1}\left[K_\nu - ie^{-i\pi\nu}\frac{\sin\pi(\nu-s+i\epsilon)}{\sin\pi(\nu+s-i\epsilon)}K_{-\nu-1}\right] A^{\nu}_{+} e^{-i(\epsilon\ln\epsilon-\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\epsilon)}, \\ B^{\rm ref} =& \omega^{-1-2s}\left[K_\nu + ie^{i\pi\nu}K_{-\nu-1}\right] A^{\nu}_{-} e^{i(\epsilon\ln\epsilon-\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\epsilon)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and[1]

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\rm tra} =& \omega^{-1-2s} e^{i(\epsilon\ln\epsilon-\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\epsilon)} A^{\nu}_{-}, \\ R^{\rm tra}_{+} =& \omega^{-1} e^{-i(\epsilon\ln\epsilon-\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\epsilon)} A^{\nu}_{+}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Here, much complication is stored in the quantities A^{ν}_{+}, A^{ν}_{-} and K_ν. These are given by

$$A^{\nu}_{+} = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\epsilon} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}i(\nu+1-s)} 2^{-1+s-i\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1-s+i\epsilon)}{\Gamma(\nu+1+s-i\epsilon)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n, \tag{3.13}$$

$$A^{\nu}_{-} = 2^{-1-s+i\epsilon} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}i(\nu+1+s)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\epsilon} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\nu+1+s-i\epsilon)_n}{(\nu+1-s+i\epsilon)_n} a_n.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nu =& \frac{e^{i\epsilon\kappa}(2\epsilon\kappa)^{s-\nu-r} 2^{-s} i^r \Gamma(1-s-2i\epsilon_+)\Gamma(r+2\nu+2)}{\Gamma(r+\nu+1-s+i\epsilon)\Gamma(r+\nu+1+i\tau)\Gamma(r+\nu+1+s+i\epsilon)} \\ & \times \left(\sum_{n=r}^{\infty}(-1)^n \frac{\Gamma(n+r+2\nu+1)}{(n-r)!}\frac{\Gamma(n+\nu+1+s+i\epsilon)}{\Gamma(n+\nu+1-s-i\epsilon)}\frac{\Gamma(n+\nu+1+i\tau)}{\Gamma(n+\nu+1-i\tau)}\, a_n\right) \\ & \times \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{r}\frac{(-1)^n}{(r-n)!(r+2\nu+2)_n}\frac{(\nu+1+s-i\epsilon)_n}{(\nu+1-s+i\epsilon)_n} a_n\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where in this instance r is an arbitrary integer chosen for convenience[2] .

IV. GREEN FUNCTION IN THE COMPLEX FREQUENCY DOMAIN

The Green function given by (2.3) in its current form would require the homogeneous 'in' and 'up' solutions for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ for each ℓ and m mode. Analytical progress can be made by means of a contour deformation on the complex-frequency plane of the real-frequency Fourier integral. Leaver gave significant insight into the Green function

[1] Note that there is typo in Eqs.155 and156 [26], as the z in the exponentials should be a $\hat{z}$.

[2] We use the same symbol, r, for this integer number as for the radial coordinate so as to follow the same notation as [26]. It should be clear from the context when r refers to the radial coordinate and when to this arbitrary integer.

in Schwarzschild spacetime by such deformation [10]. When deforming the contour, one must take into account the singularities of the Fourier modes of the Green function as dictated by Cauchy's theorem. In Schwarzschild space-time, the singularities of the Fourier modes are: simple poles (quasi-normal modes) and a branch point at the origin with a corresponding branch cut typically taken down the negative imaginary axis. The contour deformation then means that the Green function may be obtained by the sum of the following contributions: (1) a sum over the residues at the poles, (2) an integral along a high-frequency arc and (3) an integral around a branch cut along the negative frequency axis. In his work, Leaver identified the low frequency portion of the branch cut integral with the late time behaviour of the Green function.

In Kerr spacetime, while the significant features of the poles giving QNMs and a branch cut leading to a late time tail remain, one also must account for branch points – away from the origin – in the spheroidal functions [30**?**]. In App. A we show that these angular branch cuts are, however, spurious artefacts of the spheroidal decomposition, which will vanish when we do the infinite sum over ℓ to obtain the full Green function. We show a schematic representation of the contour deformation and singularities in the complex-frequency plane in Fig.1 (where, in the case of Schwarzschild, the angular branch cuts are not present).



FIG. 1. Contour deformation on the complex-frequency plane for the Green function of the Teukolsky equation in Kerr spacetime and schematic representation of the singularities of its Fourier modes. Dashed dark blue line: original Fourier-integration just above the real axis. Red semi-circle: high-frequency arc integration. Blue dots: simple poles of the Fourier modes (quasi-normal modes). Criss-crossed black lines and envolving curves: branch cuts of the Fourier modes and corresponding integration contours around them; off the origin (with envolving green contour) for the branch cut of the radial functions; off points away from the origin (with envolving light blue contours) for the branch cuts of the angular functions. Unlike the other singularities, these latter angular branch cuts and envolving integration contours are not present in Schwarzschild [10**?**]. We show in App. A, however that these angular cuts do not contribute to the full Green function. [We note that in this figure we are ignoring any other extra cuts which the coefficients a_n and/or the pararameter ν might have]

In this paper we are interested in the late-time behaviour of the Green function. From asymptotic theory of Laplace transforms [31], this late-time behaviour will be dictated, after performing a Laplace transform on the Green function, by the uppermost singularities in the complex frequency plane of the Green function modes. In the next section we argue that the only 'physical' branch point in subextremal Kerr space-time is that at the origin, $\omega = 0$. Furthermore, Whiting [3] showed that there are no exponentially growing modes (i.e., with positive imaginary frequency) in subextremal Kerr. Finally, on energy-conservation grounds, no quasi-normal modes may have real and non-superradiant frequency (i.e., $\omega\tilde{\omega} \geq 0$ and $\omega \neq 0$) [5]. We therefore expect that the late-time behaviour of the Green function is given by the Green function modes near $\omega = 0$.

Our strategy for calculating the late-time behaviour of the Green function will be as follows:

1. express the discontinuity across the branch cut in the frequency domain radial Green function in terms of the discontinuity of the upgoing homogeneous solution;

2. find an analytic expression for the discontinuity in the upgoing homogeneous solution in terms of known MST quantities;

3. use this to calculate the induced discontinuity in the Wronskian;

4. explicitly compute a low-frequency expansion of the branch cut contribution to the Green function;

5. integrate the above branch cut expansion to obtain the behaviour of the Green function at late times.

In Sec.V we deal with the above point 1 (see, specifically, Eq.(5.5)), point 2 (see Eqs.(5.20), (5.21)) and point 3 (see Eqs.(5.8)–(5.10)). In Sec.VI we deal with point 4 for the radius-independent part and in Sec.VII for the radius-dependent part. Finally, in Sec.VIII we will deal with point 5 above.

V. BRANCH CUT

By a simple rescaling of the dependent variable, the radial Eq. (2.4) can be rewritten in Schrödinger-like form (see Eqs.2.2 and 2.13 [4][3]). It is easy to show that the potential in this Schrödinger-like equation goes like a constant term plus a term exponentially decreasing with the independent variable as the horizon is approached. This means that, following the heuristic arguments in [32], the radial solution $R^{\rm in}_{\ell m}$ is not expected to have a branch point at $\tilde{\omega}=0$ On the other hand, the potential, after excluding the centrifugal barrier, goes like ω^2 plus a term that decays slower than exponentially as radial infinity is approached. This means that $R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ is expected [32] to have a branch point at the origin of the complex-frequency plane ($\omega=0$).

The MST series for the 'in' and 'up' radial solutions confirm the above expectations. We first deal with the 'in' solutions. The representation in Eq.(3.1) for 'in' is in terms of hypergeometric functions which manifestly have no branch point in the complex-frequency plane, given their analyticity properties as functions of their first three arguments [33]. The series coefficients a_n and the renormalized angular momentum ν, which appear in Eq.(3.1), are also functions of ω. We show later on, however, that, at least to the order in ϵ to which we calculate them, these quantities possess no discontinuity along the negative imaginary axis. In fact, we believe that these quantities have no discontinuity anywhere along the negative imaginary axis, as also expected by Leaver in [34], or, at least, that if they happen to have any discontinuities along the negative imaginary axis, then these do not contribute to the full Green function (e.g., they posses angular branch points, which, not only are away from the origin but also, as we show in App. A, they do not contribute to the full Green function). Alternatively, one could use the Jaffé series representation [34] of the 'in' solutions (see also Eq.73 [34] for the 'up' solutions), which does not depend on ν, although it has different series coeffiicients whose analytic properties should be investigated. For the 'up' radial solution, let us consider its series representation Eq.(3.8) in terms of the irregular confluent hypergeometric functions $U(a,b,z)$. These special functions contain a branch point at the origin of their third argument [33]. In our case, this means that the 'up' solutions possess a branch point at $\omega=0$, as expected. As is standard, we shall take the branch cut from $\omega=0$ to lie down the negative imaginary axis of the complex-ω plane. In this paper we calculate the Green function modes along this branch cut. The following analytic continuation property [35] will be most useful:

$$U(a,b,ze^{2\pi i n})=(1-e^{-2\pi i b n})\frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(1+a-b)}M(a,b,z)+e^{-2\pi i b n}U(a,b,z),\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}^+, \tag{5.1}$$

where $M(a,b,z)$ is the regular confluent hypergeometric function. We will use (5.1) to obtain an expression for the discontinuity in $\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ across the negative imaginary frequency axis – see Eq.(5.14) below.

We first establish some useful notation for the analysis on the branch cut. We define an auxiliary variable $\sigma\equiv i\omega$ to parameterise the frequency along the negative imaginary axis (it is $\sigma>0$ along the cut). Henceforth, $+/-$ *super*scripts denote functions evaluated respectively on the right/left of the branch cut, e.g., $R^{{\rm up},\pm}_{\ell m}(r,\sigma)\equiv\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}(r,-i\sigma\pm\rho)$ with $\sigma>0$. Also, the symbol 'δ' will denote the difference between these two limits of a certain function, e.g., $\delta R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}(r,\sigma)\equiv R^{{\rm up},+}_{\ell m}(r,\sigma)-R^{\rm up}_{\ell m,-}(r,\sigma)$.

The asymptotic behaviour at radial infinity of the 'up' radial solution is the same in the limit of the frequency approaching the negative imaginary axis from the third or the fourth quadrant:

$$\hat{R}^{{\rm up},\pm}_{\ell m}\sim r^{-1-2s}e^{\sigma r_*},\quad r\to\infty. \tag{5.2}$$

This implies that the difference between the two must be subdominant at infinity:

$$\delta\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}\sim r^{-1}e^{-\sigma r_*},\quad r\to\infty. \tag{5.3}$$

---

[3] We note that the independent variable in [4] is slightly different from the standard tortoise coordinate, but this should not affect the following conclusions about branch points.

Therefore, this difference must be proportional to the (normalised) linearly independent solution $\hat{R}^{\nu}_{+}$:

$$\delta\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m} = iq(\sigma)\hat{R}^{\nu}_{+}, \tag{5.4}$$

where the constant of proportionality is a 'branch cut strength' function, $q(\sigma)$. Note that $q(\sigma)$ is a real-valued function in Schwarzschild spacetime [23, 36] but in Kerr spacetime we have no reason to expect this.

The branch cut down the negative imaginary axis that $R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ possesses is inherited by the Wronskian W via Eq.(2.11) and by the Green function Fourier modes $G_{\ell m}$ via Eq.(2.10). We now calculate the discontinuity in the Green function modes across the cut from Eq.(2.10):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta G_{\ell m}(r,r';\sigma) &= -\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}(r_<,-i\sigma)\left(\frac{\hat{R}^{{\rm up},+}_{\ell m}(r_>)}{W^+} - \frac{\hat{R}^{{\rm up},-}_{\ell m}(r_>)}{W^-}\right)\\ &= -\frac{\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}(r,-i\sigma)\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}(r',-i\sigma)}{W^+W^-}\Delta^{s+1}\bar{W}(\hat{R}^{{\rm up},+}_{\ell m},\hat{R}^{{\rm up},-}_{\ell m})\\ &= -2i\sigma\frac{q(\sigma)}{W^+W^-}\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}(r,-i\sigma)\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}(r',-i\sigma), \quad \sigma>0,\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where we have used Eq.(5.4) and the fact that $R^{\rm in}_{\ell m}$ possesses no branch cut. In the following two subsections, we shall obtain expressions for the Wronskian and for the branch cut strength $q(\sigma)$.

The contribution from the branch cut to the Green function $G(x,x')$ in Eq.(2.3) is then given by

$$G_{BC} = \sum_{\ell=|s|}^{\infty}\delta G_{\ell}, \tag{5.6}$$

where we have defined

$$\delta G_{\ell} \equiv -2i\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell}e^{im\phi}\int_0^{\infty}d\sigma\, e^{-\sigma t}\,{}_sS_{\ell m\omega}(\theta)\,{}_sS^{*}_{\ell m\omega}(\theta')\delta G_{\ell m}(r,r';\sigma), \tag{5.7}$$

and where the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics are meant to be evaluated at $\omega=-i\sigma$.

A. Wronskian discontinuity

Our expression for the discontinuity of the Green function Eq.(5.5) involves calculating the Wronskian of the two homogeneous solutions on either side of the negative imaginary axis, and taking their product: W^+W^-. We will now express this in terms of known asymptotic amplitudes evaluated entirely on the right hand side of the branch cut, i.e., on the 4th quadrant. Straight-forwardly, we have

$$W^+ = W(\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m},\hat{R}^{{\rm up},+}_{\ell m}) = 2\sigma\frac{B^{{\rm inc},+}}{B^{{\rm tra},+}}. \tag{5.8}$$

We wish to write W^- in terms of functions evaluated on the right side of the cut also. Using Eq.(5.4),

$$\begin{aligned}W^- = W(\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m},\hat{R}^{{\rm up},-}_{\ell m}) &= W(\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m},\hat{R}^{{\rm up},+}_{\ell m}) - iq(\sigma)W(\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m},\hat{R}^{\nu}_{+})\\ &= 2\sigma\frac{B^{{\rm inc},+}}{B^{{\rm tra},+}} - 2i\sigma q(\sigma)\frac{B^{{\rm ref},+}}{B^{{\rm tra},+}},\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

so that

$$W^+W^- = \left(2\sigma\frac{B^{{\rm inc},+}}{B^{{\rm tra},+}}\right)^2 - 4i\sigma^2 q(\sigma)\frac{B^{{\rm inc},+}B^{{\rm ref},+}}{(B^{{\rm tra},+})^2}. \tag{5.10}$$

Here, $B^{{\rm inc},+}$, $B^{{\rm ref},+}$ and $B^{{\rm tra},+}$ must be evaluated by analytically continuing their MST expressions from the real axis down to the right of the negative imaginary axis. Practically, this amounts to setting $\epsilon = 2M\sigma e^{-i\pi/2}$ with $\sigma>0$ in our formulas. We will then expand in small σ.

B. Branch cut strength

We now derive an expression for the branch cut strength function $q(\sigma)$. We begin by writing Eq.(3.8) for $C^{\rm tra}\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ succinctly as

$$\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}(r,\omega) = \frac{1}{C^{\rm tra}} f(\epsilon) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(\epsilon) U(a,b,-2i\hat{z}), \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(\epsilon) &\equiv 2^{\nu} e^{-\pi\epsilon} e^{-i\pi(\nu+1+s)} e^{i\hat{z}} \hat{z}^{\nu+i\epsilon_+} (\hat{z}-\epsilon\kappa)^{-s-i\epsilon_+}, \\ A_n(\epsilon) &\equiv i^n \frac{(\nu+1+s-i\epsilon)_n}{(\nu+1-s+i\epsilon)_n} a_n (2\hat{z})^n, \\ a &\equiv n+\nu+1+s-i\epsilon, \\ b &\equiv 2n+2\nu+2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

After an anticlockwise rotation of '2π' in the complex-frequency plane we have (assuming $s \in \mathbb{Z}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z} &\to \hat{z} e^{2\pi i}, \\ C^{\rm tra}(\epsilon e^{2\pi i}) &= C^{\rm tra}(\epsilon) e^{-2\pi\epsilon}, \\ f(\epsilon e^{2\pi i}) &= f(\epsilon) e^{2\pi i\nu}, \\ A_n(\epsilon e^{2\pi i}) &= A_n(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Making use of these together with Eq.(5.1) with $n=1$ and the identity [35]

$$M(a,b,-2i\hat{z}) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b-a)} e^{-a\pi i} U(a,b,-2i\hat{z}) + \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)} e^{(b-a)i\pi} e^{-2i\hat{z}} U(b-a,b,2i\hat{z}), \tag{5.13}$$

we find that

$$\delta\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m} = -\frac{f(\epsilon)}{C^{\rm tra}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(\epsilon) \tag{5.14}$$

$$\times \left[\left(e^{2\pi i\nu} e^{2\pi\epsilon} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i b}\right) \frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(1+a-b)} \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b-a)} e^{-a\pi i} + e^{-2\pi i\nu} e^{2\pi\epsilon} - 1 \right) U(a,b,-2i\hat{z}) \right. \tag{5.15}$$

$$\left. + e^{2\pi i\nu} e^{2\pi\epsilon} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i b}\right) \frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(1+a-b)} \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)} e^{(b-a)i\pi} e^{-2i\hat{z}} U(b-a,b,2i\hat{z}) \right]. \tag{5.16}$$

We focus first on the coefficient of $U(a,b,-2i\hat{z})$. Using properties of the Γ-function and putting in a and b explicitly, this coefficient is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{2\pi i\nu} e^{2\pi\epsilon} \left(1 - e^{-4\pi i\nu}\right) \frac{\sin(\pi(\nu+i\epsilon))}{\sin(2\pi\nu)} e^{-i\pi(\nu-i\epsilon)} + e^{-2\pi i\nu} e^{2\pi\epsilon} - 1 \\ &= (1 - e^{2\pi\epsilon} e^{-2\pi i\nu}) + e^{-2\pi i\nu} e^{2\pi\epsilon} - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This leaves us with the $U(b-a,b,2i\hat{z})$ term, which we want to write in terms of $\hat{R}^{\nu}_{+}$. As with $R^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$, for brevity we write Eq.(3.10) for R^{ν}_{+} as

$$R^{\nu}_{+} = g(\epsilon) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n(\epsilon) U(b-a,b,2i\hat{z}), \tag{5.17}$$

where a and b are as in Eq.(5.12) above. With a little examination, we can note the relation

$$g(\epsilon) B_n(\epsilon) = e^{2\pi i\nu} e^{-2i\hat{z}} \frac{\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(a)} f(\epsilon) A_n(\epsilon), \tag{5.18}$$

from which we can write the remaining part of $\delta\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m}$ as

$$\delta\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m} = -\frac{g(\epsilon)}{C^{\rm tra}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n(\epsilon)e^{\pi\epsilon}\left(1-e^{-4\pi i\nu}\right)\frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(1-(b-a))}\frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b-a)}e^{i\pi(n+\nu-1-s)}U(b-a,b,2i\hat{z}). \tag{5.19}$$

The Γ-functions simplify as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(1-(b-a))}\frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b-a)} &= \frac{\sin(\pi(b-a))}{\sin(\pi b)}\\ &= \frac{\sin(\pi(n+\nu+1-s+i\epsilon))}{\sin(\pi(2n+2\nu+2))}\\ &= \frac{\sin(\pi(\nu+i\epsilon))}{\sin(2\pi\nu)}e^{i\pi(n+1-s)}\\ &= \frac{e^{\pi(\epsilon+i\nu)}(1-e^{-2\pi(\epsilon-i\nu)})}{1-e^{4i\pi\nu}}e^{i\pi(n+1-s)}.\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into Eq.(5.19), using Eq.(3.11) and simplifying, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\hat{R}^{\rm up}_{\ell m} &= \frac{1}{C^{\rm tra}}\left(e^{2\pi(\epsilon-i\nu)}-1\right)R^{\nu}_{+} \\ &= \frac{R^{\rm tra}_{+}}{C^{\rm tra}}\left(e^{2\pi(\epsilon-i\nu)}-1\right)\hat{R}^{\nu}_{+}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Comparing with Eq.(5.4) we obtain an analytic expression for the branch cut strength function:

$$q(\sigma) = i\frac{A^{\nu}_{+}}{A^{\nu}_{-}}\omega^{2s}\epsilon^{-2i\epsilon}e^{i\epsilon(1-\kappa)}\left(1-e^{2\pi(\epsilon-i\nu)}\right). \tag{5.21}$$

This expression is valid for all integer values of s. All functions here are analytic at $\epsilon=0$ and so can be expanded in Taylor series about this point.

VI. LOW-FREQUENCY EXPANSION OF THE RADIUS-INDEPENDENT PART OF THE BRANCH CUT MODES

We wish to calculate a small-frequency expansion of the BC modes $\delta G_{\ell m}$ in Eq.(5.5). In the next section we will calculate the expansion for the radial function; in App.B we will do it for the series coefficients a_n and for ν; in this section we do it for the other radius-independent quantities: the BC strength as in Eq.(5.21) and the Wronskian factor that appears in Eq.(5.10). The Wronskian factor depends on $B^{inc/ref/tra}$, which will be calculated via Eq.(3.11). This equation involves $A^{\nu}_{\pm}$, obtainable from Eqs.(3.13), and K_ν and $K_{-\nu-1}$, obtainable from Eq.(3.14). These latter quantities are the most time-consuming ones to calculate. It proves useful to factor out $K_\nu = e^{K^{\rm ph}_\nu}\check{K}_\nu$ and $K_{-\nu-1} = e^{K^{\rm ph}_{-\nu-1}}\check{K}_{-\nu-1}$, where

$$K^{\rm ph}_{\nu} = i\epsilon\kappa - \nu\log(2\epsilon\kappa), \tag{6.1}$$

$$K^{\rm ph}_{-\nu-1} = i\epsilon\kappa + \nu\log(2\epsilon\kappa), \tag{6.2}$$

and $\check{K}_\nu$ and $\check{K}_{-\nu-1}$ turn out to be polynomials in σ . Throughout this section we will use a check symbol above a quantity and a 'ph' superscript to factor the quantity into its exponential terms as above.

Without further ado, we now proceed to give the small-frequency expansions of the various quantities obtained using the corresponding equations just referred to. We will calculate the expansions for spin $s=0$, up to the first five leading orders (if one considers a $\log(\sigma)$ term as yielding a different order) in a general $\ell>2$ expression and separately for the cases $\ell=m=0$ and $\ell=|m|=1$ (since these cases are not reproduced by the general $\ell>2$ expression).

A. Mode $\ell = m = 0$

For the mode $\ell=m=0$ we obtain

$$\check{K}_\nu = \frac{9}{7}\left[1+\left(\frac{4}{3}+2\gamma_E-\frac{\kappa}{3}\right)M\sigma+\left(2\gamma_E^2(\gamma_E-1)-\frac{4\left(148q^2-6739\right)}{2205}-\frac{\pi^2}{3}+\left(\frac{\pi^2}{1-q^2}-\frac{2}{3}-\gamma_E\right)\frac{2\kappa}{3}\right)M^2\sigma^2\right.$$

$$+\left(\frac{4\gamma_E}{3}(\gamma_E^2-5)+\frac{4\left(23169-2608q^2\right)}{6615}-\frac{2\pi^2}{3}+\gamma_E\left(\frac{32\left(1256-37q^2\right)}{2205}-\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right)-\right.$$
$$\left.\frac{4\left(4-q^2\right)\psi^{(2)}(1)}{3\left(1-q^2\right)}+\kappa\left(\frac{47q^2-6367}{1323}+\frac{\left(23-q^2\right)\pi^2}{9\left(1-q^2\right)}+\frac{2\gamma_E}{3}\left(1-\gamma_E+\frac{2\pi^2}{1-q^2}\right)-\frac{4\psi^{(2)}(1)}{1-q^2}\right)\right)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o\left(\sigma^3\right), \tag{6.3}$$

where γ_E is Euler's constant and $\psi^{(n)}(z)$ is the polygamma function, which is the nth-derivative of the digamma function $\psi(z)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\check{K}_{-\nu-1}=\frac{2i}{7}\Bigg[&1+\left(\frac{2}{3}+\gamma_E+\kappa\right)2M\sigma+\left(2\gamma_E^2\left(\gamma_E+\frac{11}{3}+2\kappa\right)+\frac{766q^2-17613}{2205}-\frac{\pi^2}{3}+\left(8+\frac{2\pi^2}{1-q^2}\right)\frac{\kappa}{3}\right)M^2\sigma^2\\&+\left(4\gamma_E^2\left(\frac{\gamma_E}{3}+3+\kappa\right)-\frac{40\left(428+25q^2\right)}{1323}+\frac{8\pi^2}{9}-\left(\frac{11094+101q^2}{441}+\frac{2\left(2-q^2\right)\pi^2}{3\left(1-q^2\right)}\right)\kappa\right.\\&\left.+\frac{2\gamma_E}{3}\left(\frac{\left(766q^2-10753\right)}{735}-\pi^2+\left(11+\frac{\pi^2}{1-q^2}\right)2\kappa\right)-\left(\frac{4-q^2}{3}+\kappa\right)\frac{4\psi^{(2)}(1)}{1-q^2}\right)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o\left(\sigma^3\right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Continuing this analysis to the $A_\pm^\nu$ terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\check{A}_+^\nu=&\frac{7}{9}\Bigg[1+\left(\frac{\kappa}{3}-4\gamma_E\right)M\sigma+\left(\frac{2\left(183+74q^2\right)}{2205}+2\gamma_E^2-\frac{\gamma_E\kappa}{3}\right)2M^2\sigma^2\\&+\left(8\gamma_E^2\left(\kappa-4\gamma_E\right)-\frac{32\left(183+74q^2\right)\gamma_E}{735}+\frac{28\pi^2}{3}+\frac{\left(6343+949q^2\right)\kappa}{2205}+8\psi^{(2)}(1)\right)\frac{M^3\sigma^3}{3}\Bigg]+o\left(\sigma^3\right),\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

$$\check{A}_-^\nu=\frac{7}{9}\left[1-(4+5\kappa)\frac{M\sigma}{3}+\left(\frac{4\left(1591-587q^2\right)}{245}+20\kappa\right)\frac{M^2\sigma^2}{9}+\left(4\left(4364q^2-2577\right)+\left(1807q^2-16651\right)\kappa\right)\frac{M^3\sigma^3}{6615}\right]+o\left(\sigma^3\right) \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$A_\pm^{\nu,\mathrm{ph}}=-\frac{\pi\epsilon}{2}\pm\frac{i\pi(1+\nu)}{2}-(1\pm i\epsilon)\log(2).$$

While all these quantities together are sufficient for calculating the branch cut strength $q(\sigma)$, we still need the $B^{\mathrm{inc}}, B^{\mathrm{ref}}$ and B^{tra} coefficients which appear in the Wronskian expression. The expansions for the coefficients that we give, when evaluated on the cut, are meant to be by taking the limit from the fourth quadrant - we do not include a superscript '+' to not overburden the notation. The expansions for these coefficients for $\ell=m=0$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}\check{B}^{\mathrm{inc}}=\frac{14}{9\epsilon}\Bigg[&1-\left(\gamma_E+\frac{\kappa}{3}\right)2M\sigma+\left(\frac{29639+347q^2}{2205}+2\gamma_E^2+\frac{(8i-\pi)\pi}{3}+\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3(1-q^2)}-4\right)\kappa\right.\\&\left.+\frac{2\gamma_E}{3}(2\kappa-11)-\frac{8}{3}\log(4M\kappa\sigma)\right)M^2\sigma^2+\left(\frac{2}{3}\left(19-q^2\right)+\frac{2\gamma_E^2}{3}(11-\kappa-\gamma_E)+8i\pi+\frac{20\pi^2}{9}\right.\\&\left.+\left(\frac{28i\pi}{9}-\frac{2\left(6801+268q^2\right)}{6615}+\frac{\left(12-q^2\right)\pi^2}{\left(1-q^2\right)9}\right)\kappa\right.\\&\left.+\frac{\gamma_E}{3}\left(\pi(\pi-8i)-\frac{\left(47279+347q^2\right)}{735}+\left(\frac{11}{3}-\frac{\pi^2}{1-q^2}\right)2\kappa\right)\right.\\&\left.+\left(\frac{2\gamma_E}{3}-\frac{7\kappa}{9}-2\right)4\log(4M\kappa\sigma)-\left(\frac{2+q^2}{3}+\kappa\right)2\psi^{(2)}(1)\right)\frac{2M^3\sigma^3}{1-q^2}\Bigg]+o\left(\sigma^3\right),\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

$$\check{B}^{\mathrm{tra}}=\frac{7}{9}\left[1+\frac{\kappa M\sigma}{3}+\frac{8\left(183+74q^2\right)M^2\sigma^2}{2205}+\frac{\left(6343+949q^2\right)\kappa M^3\sigma^3}{6615}\right]+o\left(\sigma^3\right), \tag{6.8}$$

$$\check{B}^{\rm ref} = \frac{14}{9\epsilon}\Bigg[1+2\left(\gamma_E-\frac{4\kappa}{3}\right)M\sigma+\left(\frac{45809-7003q^2}{2205}+2\gamma_E\left(\gamma_E-\frac{11+8\kappa}{3}\right)+\frac{\pi^2}{3}\left(\frac{2\kappa}{1-q^2}-1\right)-\frac{8}{3}\log(4M\kappa\sigma)\right)M^2\sigma^2$$
$$+\left(\frac{2\gamma_E^2}{3}\left(\gamma_E-11-4\kappa\right)-\frac{8\pi^2}{9}+\left(\frac{7243q^2-98109}{735}+\frac{\left(15-4q^2\right)\pi^2}{1-q^2}\right)\frac{\kappa}{735}+$$
$$\frac{\gamma_E}{3}\left(\frac{45809-7003q^2}{735}-\pi^2+\left(\frac{26}{3}+\frac{\pi^2}{1-q^2}\right)2\kappa\right)-\left(2\gamma_E+\frac{\kappa}{3}\right)\frac{4}{3}\log(4M\kappa\sigma)-\left(\frac{4\left(4-q^2\right)}{3}+\kappa\right)\frac{2\psi^{(2)}(1)}{1-q^2}\Bigg)2M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]$$
$$+o\left(\sigma^3\right), \tag{6.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\rm ph}^{\rm inc} &= \frac{i\pi}{2}-\log(2)+\left(1+2i\pi+\kappa-2\log(4\sigma)\right)M\sigma+\nu(i\pi-\log(4M\kappa\sigma)),\\
B_{\rm ph}^{\rm tra} &= \left(1+\kappa+2\log(\kappa)\right)M\sigma,\\
B_{\rm ph}^{\rm ref} &= -\frac{i\pi}{2}-\log(2)-\nu\log(4M\kappa\sigma)-\left(1-3\kappa-2\log(4\sigma)\right)\sigma.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

With these we can proceed to the results for the Wronskian factor and the q function.

While we find the branch cut strength q to be quite simple even with the exponential terms included, it is useful to show it both with and without factoring out the exponential terms. This is so as to see how it will behave with ℓ and to find further cancellations when evaluating Eq.(5.5). We have, for $\ell = m = 0$,

$$\check{q}(\sigma) = -4\pi M\sigma\Bigg[1+\left(\frac{11}{3}-4\gamma_E+2\kappa\right)M\sigma+\left(3-q^2+2\gamma_E\left(2\gamma_E-\frac{11}{3}+\frac{2\pi(\pi+7i)}{3}-2\kappa\right)+\frac{11\kappa}{3}\right)2M^2\sigma^2$$
$$+\Bigg(8\gamma_E\left(\gamma_E\left(\frac{11-4\gamma_E}{3}+2\kappa\right)+a^2-3+\frac{\pi(\pi+7i)}{3}-\frac{11\kappa}{3}\right)-\frac{22\pi\left(\pi+7i\right)}{9}-\frac{229+1062q^2}{135}$$
$$+\left(7-q^2-\pi(\pi-7i)\right)\frac{4\kappa}{3}+\frac{8\psi^{(2)}(1)}{3}\Bigg)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o\left(\sigma^4\right), \tag{6.11}$$

and

$$q^{\rm ph} = i\pi(1+\nu)+2(1-\kappa-2\log(4M\sigma))M\sigma. \tag{6.12}$$

From this we see explicitly that the phase will not contribute any extra factors of σ, but there will be an alternating sign like $(-1)^{\ell+1}$. The explicit full form of q for $\ell = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
q(\sigma) =& 4\pi M\sigma\Bigg(1+\left(\frac{17}{3}-4\cdot\text{Eulerlog}\right)M\sigma+\left(2\left(6\cdot\text{Eulerlog}^2-17\cdot\text{Eulerlog}+10\right)-\pi^2\right)\frac{2M^2\sigma^2}{3}\\
&+\Bigg(2\pi^2\left(4\cdot\text{Eulerlog}-\frac{17}{3}\right)-\frac{8q^2}{5}-\frac{1}{45}\left(1440\cdot\text{Eulerlog}^3-6120\cdot\text{Eulerlog}^2+7200\cdot\text{Eulerlog}-1031\right)\\
&+8\psi^{(2)}(1)\Bigg)\frac{M^3\sigma^3}{3}\Bigg)+o\left(\sigma^4\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

where EulerLog$\equiv \log(4\sigma)+\gamma_E$.

Since the Wronskian comes in two pieces one needs to check the common phase between the two to determine an overall exponential factor, which is quite a simple task given everything we have above. After combining some simplifying exponential terms involving '$\log(2)$' and '$i\pi$', this gives

$$\check{W}^+\check{W}^- = 1-(2\gamma_E+\kappa)2M\sigma+$$
$$\left(\frac{245-17q^2}{9}+8\gamma_E^2-\frac{2\pi(\pi+14i)}{3}+\left(\frac{\pi^2}{3(1-q^2)}-2\right)4\kappa+4\gamma_E\left(2\kappa-\frac{11}{3}\right)-\frac{16}{3}\log(4M\kappa\sigma)\right)M^2\sigma^2+$$
$$\Bigg(\frac{8}{3}\left(23-5q^2\right)-\frac{32\gamma_E^3}{3}+\frac{64\pi^2}{9}+16\gamma_E^2\left(\frac{11}{3}-\kappa\right)+\left(\frac{5q^2-197}{3}+28i\pi+\frac{\left(14-3q^2\right)2\pi^2}{(1-q^2)3}\right)\frac{2\kappa}{3}$$

$$+\frac{\gamma_E}{3}\left(\frac{4}{3}\left(17q^2-317\right)+112i\pi+8\pi^2+\left(17-\frac{2\pi^2}{1-q^2}\right)8\kappa\right)$$
$$+\left(\frac{64\gamma_E}{3}-\frac{16\kappa}{3}-32\right)\log(4M\kappa\sigma)-\left(\frac{2+q^2}{3}+\kappa\right)\frac{8\psi^{(2)}(1)}{1-q^2}\Bigg)M^3\sigma^3+o\left(\sigma^3\right), \tag{6.14}$$

and

$$(W^+W^-)^{\rm ph}=2\nu(i\pi-\log(4M\kappa\sigma))-4M\sigma\log(4M\kappa\sigma), \tag{6.15}$$

from which we can see an immediate contribution in the general-ℓ case from the exponential of $\sigma^{2\ell}$.

Finally we present the ratio of the branch cut strength and the Wronskian product, the key quantity required in Eq. (5.5) to determine the discontinuity in the radial Green function. In this final step we will explicitly include much of the phase terms since they source the logarithmic contributions to the late time tail first reported in Schwarzschild in [23]. The ratio of the branch cut strength and the Wronskian product is

$$\frac{q(\sigma)}{W^+W^-}=e^{(1-\kappa+2\log\kappa)M\sigma}4\pi M\sigma\Bigg[1+M\left(\frac{11}{3}+4\kappa\right)\sigma+\frac{1}{3}\left(44\gamma+\frac{1}{3}\left(-119-73q^2\right)-\frac{4\pi^2}{\kappa}+68\kappa+44\log(4M\kappa\sigma)\right)M^2\sigma^2$$
$$-\frac{1}{135}M^3\sigma^3\Bigg(11544+8767q^2-(11580+10080\kappa)(\gamma_E+\log(4M\kappa\sigma))+\frac{120\pi^2(11+17\kappa)}{\kappa}+60\left(119+25q^2\right)\kappa$$
$$+\frac{2160}{\kappa^2}(1+\kappa)\,\zeta(3)\Bigg)\Bigg]+o(\sigma)^4. \tag{6.16}$$

B. Mode $\ell=|m|=1$

In Sec.VIII we will evaluate the Green function on the equator ($\theta=\pi/2$). By the symmetries of the Teukolsky equation, $\delta G_{1,1}+\delta G_{1,-1}=2{\rm Re}(\delta G_{1,1})$ on the equator. Therefore, on the equator, we only need calculate $m=1$ quantities, not $m=-1$. All results in this subsection are for $\ell=m=1$. Much of the details of this calculation are quite similar to the previous subsection for $\ell=0$. Therefore, we only give the main results needed, that is the expansions for the transmission coefficient for the inner solution, the branch cut strength and the Wronskian. The transmission coefficient is

$$\breve{B}^{\rm tra}=1-\left(\frac{25iq}{361}-\kappa\right)M\sigma+\left(\frac{3416}{9025}-\frac{310997q^2}{3258025}+\frac{236iq\kappa}{1805}\right)M^2\sigma^2+\Bigg(\frac{57390747iq}{22806175}-\frac{840440462iq^3}{1646605835}$$
$$-\frac{19669\kappa}{9025}+\frac{1963303q^2\kappa}{3258025}\Bigg)M^3\sigma^3+o(M^3\sigma^3), \tag{6.17}$$

and

$$B^{\rm tra}_{\rm ph}=(1+\kappa+2\log(\kappa))M\sigma-\frac{iq(1+\kappa+2\log(\kappa))}{2(1+\kappa)}. \tag{6.18}$$

We find the branch cut strength to be, again both with and without factoring out the exponential terms,

$$\breve{q}(\sigma)=-4\pi M\sigma\Bigg[1+\left(\frac{79}{15}-4\gamma_E+2\kappa\right)M\sigma+\left(\frac{113-8iq-15q^2}{15}+2\gamma_E\left(2\gamma_E-\frac{79}{15}-2\kappa\right)-\frac{\pi}{3}\left(\pi+\frac{19i}{5}\right)+\frac{79\kappa}{15}\right)2M^2\sigma^2+$$
$$\left(\frac{536213-252270q^2}{23625}-\frac{296iq}{45}-\frac{32\gamma_E^3}{3}-\frac{3002i\pi}{225}+\frac{144q\pi}{95}-\frac{158\pi^2}{45}+8\gamma_E\left(\frac{i\,(8q+19\pi)}{15}-\frac{113}{15}+q^2+\frac{\pi^2}{3}-\frac{79\kappa}{15}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\left(\frac{103}{5}-q^2-\pi^2-\frac{8iq}{5}-\frac{19i\pi}{5}\right)\frac{4\kappa}{3}+8\gamma_E^2\left(\frac{79}{15}+2\kappa\right)+\frac{8\psi^{(2)}(2)}{3}\right)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o\left(\sigma^3\right), \tag{6.19}$$

$$q^{\rm ph}=i\pi(1+\nu)+2(1-\kappa-2\log(4\sigma))M\sigma, \tag{6.20}$$

and

$$q(\sigma)=-4\pi M\sigma\Bigg[1+\left(\frac{109}{15}-4\cdot{\rm Eulerlog}\right)M\sigma+\left(\frac{64}{5}-\frac{8iq}{15}-\frac{218\cdot{\rm Eulerlog}}{15}+4\cdot{\rm Eulerlog}^2-\frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)2M^2\sigma^2 \tag{6.21}$$

$$+\left(\frac{1528463}{23625}-\frac{392iq}{45}+\frac{872\cdot\text{Eulerlog}^2}{15}-\frac{32\cdot\text{Eulerlog}^3}{3}-\frac{218\pi^2}{45}-\frac{8\kappa}{3}-\frac{218\kappa^2}{15}+\frac{8\kappa^3}{3}+\right.$$
$$\left.\frac{2q^2}{3}\left(4\kappa-\frac{3853}{175}\right)+\left(\frac{\pi^2}{3}-\frac{69}{5}+\frac{8iq}{15}+q^2+\kappa^2\right)8\cdot\text{EulerLog}+\frac{8\psi^{(2)}(2)}{3}\right)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o\left(\sigma^3\right).$$

For the Wronskian, we find the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\check{W}^+\check{W}^- &= \frac{1}{144\left(1-q^2\right)\left(2q^2+2iq\kappa-1\right)}\Bigg[1+\left(4-2iq-4\gamma_E+\kappa-2\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)2M\sigma \\
&+\left(\frac{14503}{225}-36iq-\frac{997q^2}{75}+32\gamma_E^2-\frac{76i\pi}{15}-\frac{4\pi^2}{3}-8\gamma_E\left(\frac{139}{15}-4iq+2\kappa\right)+\left(8-\frac{17iq}{3}\right)2\kappa\right. \\
&\left.+\left(4iq-\frac{139}{15}+8\gamma_E-2\kappa\ +2\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)4\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)+\left(1+\frac{2\kappa}{1-q^2}\right)4\psi^{(1)}\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)M^2\sigma^2 \\
&+\left(\frac{4}{225}\left(18806-16126iq-6837q^2+2436iq^3\right)-\frac{256\gamma_E^3}{3}-\frac{40iq\log\left(4\sigma\kappa\right)}{57}-\frac{16\pi}{285}(722i+307q)+\frac{8i\pi^2}{45}(41i+30q)\right. \\
&\left.+\left(\frac{14203-11100iq-4731q^2}{75}-\frac{76i\pi}{5}-4\pi^2\right)\frac{2\kappa}{3}+64\gamma_E^2\left(\frac{79-30iq}{15}+\kappa\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{8\gamma_E}{3}\left(\frac{76i\pi}{5}+4\pi^2-\frac{16783-9030iq-2991q^2}{75}-\frac{2}{5}(139-85iq)\kappa\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\left(\frac{79}{15}-2iq-4\gamma_E+\kappa-\frac{2}{3}\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)16\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)^2\right. \\
&\left.+\left(6-2iq+\frac{\left(154-60iq-15q^2\right)\kappa}{15\left(1-q^2\right)}-4\gamma_E\left(1+\frac{2\kappa}{1-q^2}\right)\right)8\psi^{(1)}\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+4\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\left(\frac{76i\pi}{15}+\frac{4\pi^2}{3}-\frac{16783-9030iq-2991q^2}{225}-32\gamma_E^2\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.-\frac{2}{15}(139-85iq)\kappa+16\gamma_E\left(\frac{79-30iq}{15}+\kappa\right)-4\left(1+\frac{2\kappa}{1-q^2}\right)\psi^{(1)}\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{16\psi^{(2)}(2)}{3}-\left(\frac{4-q^2}{3}+\kappa\right)\frac{8}{1-q^2}\psi^{(2)}\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o\left(\sigma^3\right),\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

and

$$(W^+W^-)^{\text{ph}}=\frac{iq(1+\kappa+2\log(\kappa))}{1+\kappa}+2\nu(i\pi-\log(4M\kappa\sigma))-4\sigma\log(4M\kappa\sigma). \tag{6.23}$$

We note that the group of polygamma functions comes from the expansions of the Γ-functions which appear in K_ν and $K_{-\nu-1}$. We can now combine the above to give our important branch cut strength to Wronskian ratio

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{q(\sigma)}{W^+W^-} &= -\frac{M\pi(q-i\kappa)^2M\sigma}{36\kappa^2}(4M\sigma\kappa)^2e^{-\frac{iq}{1+\kappa}(1+\kappa+2\log\kappa)+2M\sigma(1-\kappa+2\log\kappa)}\Bigg[1+\left(-\frac{41}{15}+4\gamma_E+4iq+4\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)M\sigma \\
&+\left(-\frac{7252}{225}+8\gamma_E^2+\frac{2\pi^2}{3}+\gamma_E\left(-\frac{4}{5}+16iq\right)-8iq+\frac{10iq\kappa}{3}+\frac{353\kappa^2}{75}+\frac{76}{15}\log(4M\kappa\sigma)\right. \\
&\left.+\left(-\frac{88}{15}+16\gamma_E+16iq\right)\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)+8\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)^2+\left(-4-\frac{8}{\kappa}\right)\psi^{(1)}\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)M^2\sigma^2 \\
&+\frac{1}{15}\left(-78\pi^2+\frac{8}{3}\gamma\left(-881+15\gamma(7+4\gamma)+15\pi^2\right)-128q^2\kappa+\frac{1412\gamma\kappa^2}{5}+\frac{2006587-4413\kappa^2}{1575}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{2}{15}iq\left(300\pi^2-258q^2+300\gamma(6+12\gamma+5\kappa)-25(580+41\kappa)\right)+24(-1+20\gamma+20iq)\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)^2\right. \\
&\left.+160\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)^3+\log(4\sigma\kappa)\left(-\frac{3116}{15}+304\gamma+360iq+304\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)\right.\end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{4(-44-41\kappa+60\gamma(2+\kappa)+60iq(2+\kappa))\psi^{(1)}\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)}{\kappa}+\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\left(\frac{4}{5}(-2677+600\gamma^2+50\pi^2\right.$$
$$\left.+80\gamma(4+15iq)+353\kappa^2+50iq(-3+5\kappa))-\frac{240(2+\kappa)\psi^{(1)}\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)}{\kappa}\right)-40\psi^{(2)}(2)$$
$$\left.+\frac{40(3+\kappa(3+\kappa))\psi^{(2)}\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)}{\kappa^2}\right)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o(\sigma^7) \tag{6.24}$$

C. Mode $\ell=1$, $m=0$

We obtain the following high order expansion for the transmission coefficient for the mode $\ell=1$, $m=0$:

$$\check{B}^{\rm tra}=1+M\kappa\sigma+\frac{3416M^2\kappa^2\sigma^2}{9025}+\frac{M^3\kappa\left(-21660+1991\kappa^2\right)\sigma^3}{9025}+o(\sigma^3), \tag{6.25}$$
$$B^{\rm tra}_{\rm ph}=(1+\kappa+2\log(\kappa))M\sigma. \tag{6.26}$$

The expansion for the branch cut strength that we obtain is

$$\check{q}(\sigma)=-4\pi M\sigma\Bigg[1+\left(\frac{79}{15}-4\gamma_E+2\kappa\right)M\sigma+2\left(\frac{113-19i\pi+79\kappa}{15}-q^2+4\gamma_E^2-\frac{\pi^2}{3}-2\gamma_E\left(\frac{79}{15}+2\kappa\right)\right)M^2\sigma^2$$
$$+\left(\frac{536213-252810q^2}{23625}-\frac{32\gamma_E^3}{3}+8\gamma_E\left(\frac{19i\pi-113-79\kappa}{15}+q^2+\frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+2\left(4\gamma_E^2-\frac{19i\pi}{15}-\frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{79}{15}+2\kappa\right)+\frac{4\kappa}{3}\left(\frac{103}{5}-q^2\right)+\frac{8\psi^{(2)}(2)}{3}\right)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o(\sigma^3), \tag{6.27}$$

together with

$$q^{\rm ph}=i\pi(1+\nu)+2(1-\kappa-2\log(4M\sigma))M\sigma. \tag{6.28}$$

The expansion for the Wronskian factor in the expression for the Green function discontinuity along the cut is

$$\check{W}^+\check{W}^-=144\Bigg[1+\left(4-2\gamma_E+\frac{\left(1+q^2\right)\kappa}{1-q^2}\right)2M\sigma+\left(\frac{14503-12226q^2+423a^4}{225(1-q^2)}+8\gamma_E^2-4\frac{19i\pi+139\gamma_E}{15}+\right.$$
$$\left.\frac{(2-\gamma_E)8\left(1+q^2\right)\kappa}{1-q^2}+\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\left(\frac{2\kappa}{1-q^2}-1\right)\right)M^2\sigma^2+\left(\frac{8\left(468q^4-7171q^2+9403\right)}{225\left(1-q^2\right)}-\frac{32\gamma_E^3}{3}\right.$$
$$+\frac{2\left(123q^6-12163q^4+1437q^2+14203\right)\kappa}{225\left(1-q^2\right)^2}+\frac{4\pi^2\left(8+52q^2+\left(124-15q^2\right)\kappa\right)}{45(1-q^2)}+16\gamma_E^2\left(\frac{79}{15}+\frac{\left(1+q^2\right)\kappa}{1-q^2}\right)$$
$$-\frac{152i\pi}{15}\left(4+\frac{\left(1+q^2\right)\kappa}{1-q^2}\right)+\frac{\gamma_E}{3}\left(\frac{304i\pi}{5}-\frac{4\left(16783-14506q^2+423q^4\right)}{75\left(1-q^2\right)}-\frac{1112\left(1+q^2\right)\kappa}{5\left(1-q^2\right)}\right.$$
$$\left.+8\pi^2\left(1-\frac{2\kappa}{1-q^2}\right)\right)-\left(\frac{4-q^2}{3}+\kappa\right)\frac{8\psi^{(2)}(1)}{1-q^2}+\frac{16\psi^{(2)}(2)}{3}\Bigg)M^3\sigma^3\Bigg]+o(\sigma^3), \tag{6.29}$$

together with

$$(\check{W}^+\check{W}^-)_{\rm ph}=2\nu(i\pi-\log(4M\kappa\sigma))-4M\sigma\log(4M\kappa\sigma). \tag{6.30}$$

D. Modes $\ell\geq 2$

One can perform the same analysis as in the previous two subsections in order to arrive at expressions which are valid for general values of ℓ and m. The only caveat is that in order to obtain higher order terms in the expansion we

must increase the minimum ℓ value for which the 'general' ℓ expansion is valid. In the case of five leading orders, as here, the 'general' ℓ and m expansion is valid for $\ell \geq 2$. For the transmission coefficient we obtain the expression:

$$\begin{aligned}\check{B}^{\rm tra} = 1 + \kappa M\sigma + \left(imq\kappa + \frac{\left(4\ell^4+8\ell^3-3\ell^2-7\ell+4\right)\kappa^2 - \left(4\ell^2+4\ell-7\right)m^2q^2}{(2\ell+3)(2\ell-1)} \right) \frac{M^2\sigma^2}{(2\ell+3)(2\ell-1)} \\ - \left(\frac{6\left(3\ell^2+3\ell-2\right)\kappa}{(\ell+1)\ell} + \frac{\left(4\ell^2+4\ell-7\right)m^2q^2\kappa}{(2\ell+3)(2\ell-1)} + \frac{\left(4\ell^4+8\ell^3+\ell^2-3\ell-3\right)\kappa^3}{3(2\ell+3)(2\ell-1)} \right. \\ \left. + imq\left(\frac{4\left(4\ell^6+12\ell^5-11\ell^4-42\ell^3+\ell^2+24\ell-9\right)}{(2\ell+3)(2\ell-1)(\ell+1)^2\ell^2} - \kappa^2 \right) \right) \frac{M^3\sigma^3}{(2\ell+3)(2\ell-1)} + o(M^3\sigma^3), \end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

and

$$B_{\rm ph}^{\rm tra} = (1+\kappa+2\log(\kappa))M\sigma - \frac{imq(1+\kappa+2\log(\kappa))}{2(1+\kappa)}. \tag{6.32}$$

For the branch cut strength we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\check{q}(\sigma) = -4\pi M\sigma \Bigg[1 + \left(\frac{P_N}{P_D} + 2\kappa + 4\psi(1+\ell)\right) M\sigma + \left(1 - q^2 - \frac{2iqm\left(8\ell^2+7\ell-7\right)}{(1+\ell)P_D} + \frac{P_N}{P_D}(\kappa - i\pi) - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{P_N}{P_D} + 2\kappa\right) 2\psi(1+\ell) + 4\psi(1+\ell)^2 \right) 2M^2\sigma^2 + \left(\frac{15\ell^4+66\ell^3+58\ell^2-17\ell-12}{\ell(1+\ell)P_D} - \pi^2\left(\frac{P_N}{P_D} + \frac{2\kappa}{3}\right) \right. \\ \left. + q^2\left(\frac{6m^2}{(2\ell+3)(2\ell-1)(\ell+1)\ell} - \frac{15\ell^2+19\ell-9}{P_D} - \frac{2\kappa}{3} \right) + \frac{2\kappa}{3} - \frac{2iqm}{(\ell+1)P_D}\left(\frac{P_N}{\ell} + 2\kappa\left(8\ell^2+7\ell-7\right) \right) + \right. \\ \left. \frac{\pi}{P_D}\left(\frac{4am\left(5\ell^2+5\ell-3\right)}{\ell(\ell+1)} - iP_N\left(\frac{P_N}{P_D} + 2\kappa\right) \right) + \left(1 - q^2 - \frac{2iqm\left(8\ell^2+7\ell-7\right)}{(\ell+1)P_D} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{P_N}{P_D}(\kappa - i\pi) \right) 4\psi(1+\ell) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{P_N}{P_D} + 2\kappa\right) 4\psi(1+\ell)^2 + \frac{4\left(4\psi(1+\ell)^3 + \psi^{(2)}(1+\ell)\right)}{3} + \frac{4P_N\psi^{(1)}(1+\ell)}{P_D} \right) 2M^3\sigma^3 \Bigg] + o\left(\sigma^4\right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

and

$$q^{\rm ph} = i\pi(1+\nu) + 2(1-\kappa-2\log(4M\sigma))M\sigma, \tag{6.34}$$

where

$$P_N \equiv 15\ell^2+15\ell-11, \quad P_D \equiv (2\ell+3)(2\ell+1)(2\ell-1). \tag{6.35}$$

For the Wronskian, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W^+W^- =& (\check{K}_\nu)^2 e^{iqm\left(\frac{2\log(\kappa)}{\kappa+1}+1\right)} (4\kappa M\sigma)^{-2\ell} \left(1 - (2\log(4M\kappa\sigma) - 4\psi(\ell+1))\, 2M\sigma + \left(2\log^2(4M\kappa\sigma) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \left(\frac{15\ell^2+15\ell-11}{(2\ell+3)\left(4\ell^2-1\right)} + 8\psi(\ell+1) \right) \log(4M\kappa\sigma) + 8\psi(\ell+1)^2 \right) 4M^2\sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

Where K_ν is

$$\begin{aligned}\check{K}_\nu = \frac{\Gamma(2\ell+1)\Gamma(2+2\ell)\Gamma\left(1+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)}{\Gamma^2(\ell+1)\Gamma\left(1+\ell+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)} \Bigg[1 - \left(\kappa + \frac{2(1+\kappa)\psi\left(1+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)}{\kappa} - \frac{2\psi\left(1+\ell+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)}{\kappa} \right) M\sigma \\ + \left(\frac{\left(8\ell^4+16\ell^3-4\ell^2-13\ell+4\right)\kappa^2 + 2(2\ell+1)m^2(\kappa^2-1)}{(2\ell-1)^2(2\ell+3)^2} - \frac{iqm\left((16+\kappa)(\ell+1)\ell-12\right)}{\ell(2\ell+3)(2\ell-1)(\ell+1)} \right. \\ \left. + 2\frac{2\left(15\ell^2+15\ell-11\right)\left(\psi(2\ell+2)+\psi(2\ell+1)-\psi(\ell+1)\right) - \left(8\ell^3+27\ell^2+13\ell-14\right)\psi\left(1+\ell+\frac{iam}{\kappa}\right)}{(2\ell+3)(2\ell+1)(2\ell-1)} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{2}{\kappa^2}\left((1+\kappa)\psi\left(1+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)-\psi\left(1+\ell+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)\right)^2+2(1+\kappa)\psi\left(1+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)$$
$$-4\psi^{(1)}(1+\ell)+\frac{2}{\kappa^2}\left((1+\kappa)^2\psi^{(1)}\left(1+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)-\psi^{(1)}\left(1+\ell+\frac{iqm}{\kappa}\right)\right)\Bigg)M^2\sigma^2\Bigg]+o(\sigma^2), \tag{6.37}$$

with the phase as in Eq. (6.1) and the term proportional to $K_{-\nu-1}$ will only appear at the next order.

We also obtain the leading order for $K_{-\nu-1}$. As a first step, we obtain

$$K_{-\nu-1}\sim-\frac{2^{\ell-1}\kappa^{s+\ell+1}\Gamma^2(\ell+s+1)}{\nu_2^2\Gamma(2\ell+1)\Gamma(2\ell+2)}\frac{\Gamma(1-s-2i\epsilon_+)}{\Gamma(-\ell-i\tau-\nu_2\epsilon^2)}\epsilon^{s+\ell-1},\quad \epsilon\to 0, \tag{6.38}$$

where ν_2 is given in Eq.(3.7). The last Γ-fraction in Eq.(6.38), $\Gamma(1-s-2i\epsilon_+)/\Gamma(-\ell-i\tau-\nu_2\epsilon^2)$ requires some care. By inspection, we observe that it is $O(1)$ if $m\neq 0$ and/or $s\geq 1$. Otherwise, however, it goes like

$$\frac{\Gamma(1-s-2i\epsilon_+)}{\Gamma(-\ell-i\tau-\nu_2\epsilon^2)}\sim\frac{(-1)^{\ell+1}i\Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\kappa}\epsilon,\quad \epsilon\to 0,\quad \text{if } m=0 \text{ and } s<1. \tag{6.39}$$

This means that the leading order asymptotics of $K_{-\nu-1}$ when $m\neq 0$ and/or $s\geq 1$ are already manifest in Eq.(6.38), with the last Γ-fraction of $O(1)$. Otherwise, the asymptotics are of one order higher in ϵ and they are manifest in

$$K_{-\nu-1}\sim\frac{(-1)^{\ell}2^{\ell-1}\kappa^{s+\ell}\Gamma^2(\ell+s+1)\Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\nu_2^2\Gamma(2\ell+1)\Gamma(2\ell+2)}\epsilon^{s+\ell},\quad \epsilon\to 0,\quad \text{if } m=0 \text{ and } s<1. \tag{6.40}$$

For completeness, we have obtained the leading order result for $K_{-\nu-1}$ for general spin s. Let us focus the following discussion on the case $s=0$ and $\ell\geq 2$. We have seen above that, $e^{K_\nu^{\rm ph}}$ captures the leading-order of K_ν, so that $K_\nu\sim\epsilon^{-\ell}$ for small ϵ. On the other hand, $K_{-\nu-1}^{\rm ph}$ captures the leading order of $K_{-\nu-1}$, so that $K_{-\nu-1}\sim\epsilon^{\ell}$, only when $m=0$; when $m\neq 0$, we have at $K_{-\nu-1}\sim\epsilon^{\ell-1}$.

VII. LOW-FREQUENCY EXPANSION OF THE RADIAL SOLUTIONS

In the expression in Eq.(5.5) for the branch cut modes, the only radial solution that is required is the ingoing radial solution. In this section, we provide a low-frequency expansion for the ingoing radial solution which is valid at arbitrary radius, so that it is valid in the strong field as well. Our starting point is the representation in Eq.(3.1). One of the ingredients in this representation are the series coefficients a_n, for which we give a low-frequency expansion in App.B. In order to complete the low-frequency expansion of the ingoing solution, we also need to expand out the hypergeometric functions themselves. A representation of the hypergeometric functions that is useful for expanding for low frequency at arbitrary radius is the Mellin-Barnes integral found in [35]. This gives the functions as an integral

$${}_2F_1(a,b;c;x)=\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\rm C1}\frac{\Gamma(a+t)\Gamma(b+t)\Gamma(-t)}{\Gamma(c+t)}(-x)^t{\rm d}t, \tag{7.1}$$

where the integral goes along the (red) contour C1 in Fig 2, separating the poles of $\Gamma(a+t)$ and $\Gamma(b+t)$ from those of $\Gamma(-t)$. In the figure, the poles of $\Gamma(-t)$ (seen in green) are at $t\in\mathbb{N}$. The functions $\Gamma(a+t)$ and $\Gamma(b+t)$ have poles (shown in red and black) occuring at, respectively,

$$t=-a,-a-1,-a-2... \tag{7.2}$$
$$t=-b,-b-1,-b-2... \tag{7.3}$$

For the case of interest to us, Eq.(3.1), we have the following values:

$$a=n+\nu+1-i\tau,\quad b=-n-\nu-i\tau,\quad c=1-s-i\epsilon-i\tau. \tag{7.4}$$

Practically speaking, evaluating the integral in Eq.(7.1) is at first a tricky prospect: to even calculate it numerically, specifying the contour would require a lot of care. It can be made easier by shifting to the contour C2 (in blue), while picking up some of the residues of the poles of $\Gamma(-t)$, shown in green. Changing contour splits the calculation into two workable pieces. First there is the contribution from the residues:

$$F_n^{\rm res}=\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\frac{\Gamma(a+k)\Gamma(b+k)}{\Gamma(c+k)}(-x)^k \tag{7.5}$$



FIG. 2. Plot of the contour deformation in the complex t-plane for the hypergeometric function Eq.(7.1). The straight blue curve is the contour (C1). The dashed red vertical line is the contour (C2). The circled crosses correspond to the poles of the various Γ functions in the integrand: the blue ones are those of $\Gamma(-t)$; the green ones are those of $\Gamma(a+t)$; the red ones are those of $\Gamma(b+t)$.

where n indicates the dependence on the summation index in Eq.(3.1) and N is the number of poles of $\Gamma(-t)$ that we pass through in changing contours from C1 to C2. This expression allows a Taylor expansion in ϵ to obtain exact expressions for the contributions at each order. What is left is the integral, now over a much simpler contour (C2) of our choosing that approches complex infinity on either side, passing somewhere in between the Nth and $(N+1)$th pole. A change of variables $t = iy + N + \frac{1}{2}$ gives the integral as

$$F_n^{\rm int} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(a+iy+N+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(b+iy+N+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(c+iy+N+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\Gamma\left(-iy-N-\frac{1}{2}\right)(-x)^{iy+N+\frac{1}{2}}{\rm d}y. \tag{7.6}$$

In summary, we have obtained the expression

$$R_{\ell m}^{\rm in} = e^{i\epsilon\kappa x}(-x)^{-s-i(\epsilon+\tau)/2}(1-x)^{i(\epsilon-\tau)/2}p_{\rm in}^{\nu}(x), \tag{7.7}$$

with

$$p_{\rm in}^{\nu} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n\left(F_n^{\rm res}+F_n^{\rm int}\right), \tag{7.8}$$

which is readily amenable to a low frequency expansion. A closed-form expression for the integral contribution here is, however, not apparent. We are forced to expand the integrand and leave each order as a integral which we can evaluate numerically to any desired accuracy. We then need to sum the residues and evaluate the integrals for each n. We truncate the n-sum when the leading ϵ contribution of the terms neglected is smaller than the order in ϵ required, i.e. for an expansion to ϵ^3 we can ignore terms with $|n|>3$. In the next subsections we give explicit expansions for the lowest modes, $\ell = 0$ and 1.

A. Mode $\ell = m = 0$

Each of the hypergeometric functions in Eq.(3.1) must be computed using the Barnes integral method described above, where the number of terms in the infinite sum in n is bound by the low frequency expansion. Working to $o(\sigma^3)$, we find, for $\ell = m = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\rm in}^{\nu} =& \frac{7}{9}\Bigg(1+\left[\left(\frac{1}{3}-2x\right)\kappa+\frac{2}{\kappa}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-x)^{\frac{1}{2}+iy}{\rm sech}(\pi y)}{(1+2iy)}dy\right]M\sigma+\left[\frac{2056}{2205}-\frac{592\kappa^2}{2205}+\left(-2-4\kappa-\frac{4\kappa^2}{3}\right)x\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{8x^2\kappa^2}{3}+\frac{2}{\kappa}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-x)^{\frac{1}{2}+iy}{\rm sech}(\pi y)}{(1+2iy)}\left(-\frac{2H_{iy-\frac{1}{2}}}{\kappa}+2H_{iy+\frac{1}{2}}+3\kappa-\frac{\kappa x(2y-5i)}{2y-3i}\right)\right]M^2\sigma^2\Bigg)+o(M^2\sigma^2), \end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

where H_c is the complex harmonic number.

B. Mode $\ell = 1$

The expressions for the $\ell = 1$ case are, algebraically, significantly more complicated than those for $\ell = 0$, particularly when $m = 1$. The main source of this is that the arguments of the hypergeometric function are no longer integers when $\epsilon = 0$. We write

$$p^{\nu}_{\rm in} = p^{\rm res}_{\rm in} + p^{\rm int}_{\rm in}, \tag{7.10}$$

where we have defined

$$p^{\rm res}_{\rm in} \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{\nu}_n F^{\rm res}_n, \qquad p^{\rm int}_{\rm in} \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{\nu}_n F^{\rm int}_n. \tag{7.11}$$

Then, to order $O(M^2\sigma^2)$ with $m = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\rm res}_{\rm in} = (1-2x) - M\left(2x\left(2+\frac{3}{\kappa}\right) - (1-2x)^2\kappa\right)\sigma + M^2\Bigg(-\frac{199}{30} + \frac{3(1-2x)^3\kappa^2}{5} + (1-2x)^2\left(\frac{23}{6}+3\kappa\right) \\ + (1-2x)\left(\frac{14}{5}+\frac{4}{\kappa^2}+\frac{10}{\kappa}-2\kappa-\frac{1999\kappa^2}{9025}\right) - \frac{4+10\kappa+\kappa^3}{\kappa^2}\Bigg)\sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2), \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\rm int}_{\rm in} = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2iM(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}+iy}(-5i+2y)\mathrm{sech}(\pi y)}{(-i+2y)(-3i+2y)\kappa}\Bigg(\sigma + 2M\Bigg[\frac{2}{\kappa} + \frac{\gamma_E(-1+\kappa)}{\kappa} + \frac{19\kappa}{30} + \frac{(3i-2y)\kappa}{6x(-5i+2y)} \\ + \frac{x(63-4y(-8i+y))\kappa}{3(5i-2y)^2} - \frac{\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+iy\right)}{\kappa} + \left(1+\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)\psi\left(\frac{5}{2}+iy\right) - \frac{\psi\left(\frac{7}{2}+iy\right)}{\kappa}\Bigg]\sigma^2\Bigg)dy + o(\sigma^2). \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

For $m = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\rm res}_{\rm in} = 1 - 2\left(1-q^2\right)x + \frac{iq\left(3-2q^2\right)x\kappa}{1-q^2} + M\Bigg(\frac{25iq\left(-1+q^2\right)}{361\left(1-q^2\right)} - \frac{iq\left(46-81q^2+36q^4\right)x^2}{6\left(1-q^2\right)} + \kappa + \frac{\left(8-21q^2+12q^4\right)x^2\kappa}{2-2q^2} \\ + x\left(2iq\left(\frac{\left(5851-11341q^2+5490q^4\right)}{1083\left(1-q^2\right)} + \left(5-4q^2\right)\kappa\right) - 2\left(2-7q^2+4q^4\right) - \frac{2\left(5415-9679q^2+5490q^4\right)\kappa}{1083\left(1-q^2\right)}\right)\Bigg)\sigma \\ + M^2\Bigg(\frac{8\left(462441-607268q^2+144827q^4\right)}{9774075\left(1-q^2\right)} - \frac{4\left(90-379q^2+468q^4-180q^6\right)x^3}{75\left(1-q^2\right)} + \frac{958iq\left(-1+q^2\right)^2\kappa}{5415\left(1-q^2\right)^2} \\ - \frac{iq\left(-1596+4619q^2-4464q^4+1440q^6\right)x^3\kappa}{150\left(1-q^2\right)^2} + x^2\Bigg(\frac{iq\left(-132+337q^2-276q^4+72q^6\right)}{4-3q^2} \\ + \frac{iq\left(-839+2369q^2-2274q^4+744q^6\right)\kappa}{25\left(1-q^2\right)^2} + \frac{3380-10535q^2+11772q^4-4464q^6}{150\left(1-q^2\right)} \\ + \frac{2\left(72-412q^2+699q^4-468q^6+108q^8\right)\kappa}{3\left(4-7q^2+3q^4\right)}\Bigg) \\ + x\Bigg(-\frac{313653406-1483629109q^2+2354055315q^4-1496850012q^6+312770400q^8}{9774075\left(1-q^2\right)} \\ - \frac{4\left(30324-167171q^2+301001q^4-223086q^6+58932q^8\right)\kappa}{1083\left(4-7q^2+3q^4\right)} - \frac{4iq\left(-75388+209933q^2-193620q^4+58932q^6\right)}{1083\left(4-3q^2\right)} \\ + \frac{iq\left(662855204-2362316633q^2+3039926241q^4-1653235212q^6+312770400q^8\right)\kappa}{9774075\left(1-q^2\right)^2}\Bigg)\Bigg)\sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2). \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

$$p_{\rm in}^{\rm int}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}-iy}\Gamma\left(\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-iy\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{iq}{\kappa}+iy+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\Bigg[\frac{x\left(8iq-6iq^3-5\kappa+6q^2\kappa+y\left(4q\left(-1+q^2\right)+2i\left(-1+2q^2\right)\kappa\right)\right)}{\pi(-3+4y(-2i+y))\kappa}$$
$$+\Bigg(-\frac{q+i\kappa}{-6\pi y+3i\pi}+\frac{2\left(q^3(6i-4y)+4q(-2i+y)+(5+2iy)\kappa+q^2(-6-4iy)\kappa\right)}{\pi(-3+4y(-2i+y))\kappa^2}\left(\frac{25iq\kappa}{722}-\psi\left(-1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right.$$
$$+\frac{\left(4q^3y-\left(25+4y^2\right)\kappa(1+\kappa)-4qy(1+\kappa)^2+q^2\left(-4+\left(21+4y^2\right)\kappa\right)\right)\psi\left(1+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)}{-25-4y^2+q^2\left(21+4y^2\right)-8qy\kappa}-\psi\left(2+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)$$
$$+\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+iy+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)+\frac{\left(-4q^3y+\left(25+4y^2\right)\kappa(1+\kappa)+4qy(1+\kappa)^2+q^2\left(4-\left(21+4y^2\right)\kappa\right)\right)\psi\left(\frac{5}{2}+iy+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)}{-25-4y^2+q^2\left(21+4y^2\right)-8qy\kappa}$$
$$\left.+\psi\left(\frac{7}{2}+iy+\frac{iq}{\kappa}\right)\right)x+\Bigg(-\frac{q\left(-251+457q^2-210q^4+20y^2-44q^2y^2+24q^4y^2\right)}{3\pi(-i+2y)(-3i+2y)(-5i+2y)\kappa^2}$$
$$+\frac{2\left(63i-176iq^2+105iq^4-32y+108q^2y-72q^4y-4iy^2+16iq^2y^2-12iq^4y^2\right)}{3\pi(-i+2y)(-3i+2y)(-5i+2y)\kappa}$$
$$\left.+\frac{48qy\kappa^2}{\pi(-1-2iy)(-3i+2y)(-5i+2y)}\right)x^2\Bigg)M\sigma\Bigg]dy. \tag{7.15}$$

VIII. LATE-TIME TAIL OF THE GREEN FUNCTION

We now have all the ingredients for obtaining the late-time behaviour of the Green function. We calculate G_{BC} using Eq.(5.6). Apart from the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics, this requires the branch cut modes $\delta G_{\ell m}$, which we obtain using Eq.(5.5). In its turn, this requires knowledge of the branch cut strength q, the Wronskian factor W^+W^- and the radial 'in' solutions $\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}$. We have given explicit high-order expansions for small frequency for all these quantities for spin $s=0$. A high order expansion for the branch cut strength is given in Eq.(6.13) for $\ell=0$, in Eqs.(6.21), (6.27) and (6.28) for $\ell=1$, and in Eqs.(6.33) and (6.34) for $\ell\geq 2$. A high order expansion for the Wronskian factor is given in Eqs.(6.14) and (6.15) for $\ell=0$, in Eqs.(6.22), (6.23), (6.29) and (6.30) for $\ell=1$, and in Eq.(6.36) for $\ell\geq 2$. An expansion to arbitrary order for small frequency for the radial functions at arbitrary radius can be readily obtained from Eq.(7.7), by expanding the residues Eq.(7.5) and the integrand in Eq.(7.6). Explicit expansions are given via Eq.(7.9) for $\ell=0$ and via Eqs.(7.12) and (7.13) for $\ell=1$. We note that these radial solutions still have to be normalized by dividing by $B^{\rm tra}$ as per Eq.(2.8). We give expansions for $B^{\rm tra}$ in Eqs.(6.8) and (6.9) for $\ell=0$, in Eqs.(6.17), (6.18) and (6.25) for $\ell=1$, and in Eqs.(6.31) and (6.32) for $\ell\geq 2$. The above quantities require expansions for the series coefficients a_n and for ν, which we give in App.B.

In the following subsection, we use the above prescription for obtaining explicit high-order expansions for the Green function at late times for $\ell=0$ and $\ell=1$ for space-time points correcponding to a particular orbit in Kerr. Before that, though, let us give a quick derivation of the leading power law tail decay of the Green function, where here we ignore any frequency-independent factors in the modes and time-independent factors in the final result. From the equations referred to in the previous paragraph, we readily have: $q\sim\sigma$, $W^+W^-\sim\sigma^{-2\ell}$ and $\hat{R}^{\rm in}_{\ell m}\sim O(1)$. Therefore, from Eq.(5.5), we have $\delta G_{\ell m}\sim\sigma^{2\ell+2}$. Trivially, we also have ${}_sS_{\ell m\omega}(\theta)=O(1)$. Then, Eq.(5.7) yields $\delta G_\ell\sim t^{-2\ell-3}$ as the leading-order behavior at late times.

Expansions for small $a\omega$ for the spin-weighted spheroidal expansion are given in, e.g., [37]. Using these, together with the above expansions for the radial part, we can calculate the retarded Green function in the time domain. To do this we must first specify a sorce point and a field point. We choose the points to lie on a timelike circular geodesic on the equator of radius $r_0=\frac{9\sqrt{11}}{5}M$, so that $\varphi=\Omega t$, with $\Omega=M^{1/2}/\left(r_0^{3/2}+aM^{1/2}\right)$. Our calculattion thus shows the contribution from a point at a fixed time in the past on a particle far into its orbit. We choose a value of $a=6M/10$ for the angular momentum of the black hole.

A. Mode $\ell=0$

Giving the results to six digits of accuracy, the branch cut mode for $\ell=0$ is

$$\delta G_{\ell=0}(t)=\frac{8}{\tilde{t}^3}+\frac{123.074}{\tilde{t}^4}-\frac{1408.\log\left(\tilde{t}\right)-7254.94}{\tilde{t}^5}-\frac{57605.8\log\left(\tilde{t}\right)-185111.}{\tilde{t}^6}+o\left(\tilde{t}^{-6}\right) \tag{8.1}$$

with $\tilde{t} = t/M$. At leading order this is identical to that of a Schwarzschild black hole. Given the poor convergence displayed by the increasingly large coefficients of the powers of $1/\tilde{t}$, these extra terms will offer dramatic improvement at increasingly earlier times.

B. Mode $\ell = 1$

We are evaluating the Green function on the equator ($\theta = \pi/2$). For $s = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ the spheroidal harmonic is zero on the equator when $m = 0$, and so we do not need this mode. Furthermore, we use the symmetry mentioned above, $\delta G_{1,1} + \delta G_{1,-1} = 2\mathrm{Re}(\delta G_{1,1})$ on the equator. After summing over m and using the same circular orbit field point as before, we find the time domain branch cut mode for $\ell = 1$ to be, within six digits of accuracy,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta G_{\ell=1}(t) = &\cos(\Omega t)\left(\frac{801.921}{\tilde{t}^5} + \frac{5240.65}{\tilde{t}^6} + \frac{451508. - 121892.\log\tilde{t}}{\tilde{t}^7} + \frac{432880. - 1.11645\log\tilde{t}}{\tilde{t}^8}\right)\\ &+ \sin(\Omega t)\left(\frac{2.63137}{\tilde{t}^5} - \frac{2398.86}{\tilde{t}^6} - \frac{38679.2 + 399.969\log\tilde{t}}{\tilde{t}^7} - \frac{2790969 - 887701.\log\tilde{t}}{\tilde{t}^8}\right) + o\left(\tilde{t}^{-8}\right). \qquad (8.2)\end{aligned}$$

Here we split the integral into its two oscillatory parts coming from $e^{im\phi} = e^{im\Omega t}$.

C. Comparisons with real frequency integration

As a test of our method, we compare the results of the previous subsections with some specific cases of the Green function which we have calculated using an integration of the frequency domain Green function along the original real frequency contour. In this calculation we generate the ingoing and upgoing homogenous solutions using the MST method, numerically evaluating for each (real) frequency point and continuing the series expansion until we get convergence to a specified accuracy goal.

In this calculation we use the following procedure:

1. We find the renormalised angular momentum ν using either root-finding methods or the monodromy methods of [38]. We did this over a range $-10 <= \epsilon <= 10$ with a grid spacing of 0.001.

2. We calculate the homogenous solutions on this grid using the MST expansions (3.1) and (3.8). Here the series coefficients a_n are evaluated using the continued fractions until a given tolerance. We truncate the sums over n's when a specified accuracy goal is met.

3. From these solutions we form the retarded Green function modes as per (2.10).

4. By interpolating this function, the time-domain Green function is approximated by a numerical evaluation of the integral (2.3) over the restricted ϵ range. Here we introduce two error functions, which serve to smoothly cut off the integral at its two limits giving a better approximation to the full integral (a justification for a similar smoothing in the ℓ-sum is given in [19]).

For comparison purposes, we choose the values used in the analytical branch cut calculation above, namely, $a = 6/10M$, $\varphi = \Omega t$ and $r_0 = \frac{9\sqrt{11}}{5}M$. We plot the comparisons in Figs. 3 and 4. We find excellent agreement at late times $\Delta t > 200M$ between the analytical branch cut calculation and the 'exact' numerical calculation.



FIG. 3. Scalar Green function mode $\ell = 0$ as a function of time in Kerr space-time with $a = 6M/10$. The points lie on an equatorial circular geodesic at radius $r_0 = \frac{9\sqrt{11}}{5}M$. The blue curve is the Green function mode obtained using a numerical real-frequency Fourier integral, which is an 'exact' calculation. The other coloured curves correspond to substracting the analytic late-time Green function up to various orders for late times from the previous real-frequency calculation. The dashed curves are the corresponding analytic, late-time Green function remainders. We see excellent agreement for late times $t > 200M$.

IX. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have developed the analytical MST formalism for obtaining the branch cut modes of the Green function for general integer-spin on Kerr space-time. We then applied this formalism to derive an expansion to five orders for small-frequency of these modes in the case of a scalar field. This expansion can readily be used to obtain a high-order expansion of the full scalar Green function at late times for arbitrary values of the spatial coodinates. The leading order of the expansion, for a given spheroidal ℓ-mode, is of order $t^{-2\ell-3}$, in agreement with previous results in the literature. The higher orders, to the best of our knowledge had not been previously obtained. In particular, these higher orders show that a logarithmic behaviour starts appearing at order $t^{-2\ell-5}\ln t$.

A high-order late-time expansion of the Green function can be valuable for the calculation of self-forces as carried out in [19] in the case of Schwarzschild space-time. The next step is to obtain a similar high-order expansion for a field of higher spin. As we have developed the MST formalism for the branch cut modes for general spin, we have already laid much of the groundwork for extending the calculation to electromagnetic and gravitatonal fields. The gravitational case is of particular interest given the recent detections of gravitational waves from black hole inspirals by the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory [7, 39], in which the ringdown stage was observed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are thankful to Barry Wardell for useful discussions. M.C. acknowledges partial financial support by CNPq (Brazil), process number 308556/2014-3. C.K. was supported by the Programme for Research in Third Level Institutions (PRTLI) Cycle 5 and co-funded under the European Regional Development Fund.



FIG. 4. Same as Fig.3 but for the mode $\ell = 1$.

Appendix A: Angular branch cuts

It has been shown (see [30] and references therein) that the eigenvalues of the spheroidal wave equation possess square root branch cuts, typically chosen to be emanating radially outwards from points where two eigenvalues coalesce, and the eigenvalue problem is found to have a double root. Along these 'angular branch cuts', the two spheroidal eigenvalues are found to be analytic continuations of each other. To understand this better, let a superscript (1) on a quantity that depends on the spheroidal eigenvalue/eigenfunction denote the quantity being evaluated on a Riemann sheet which we take to be the principal value of a spheroidal eigenvalue/eigenfunction. Similarly, a superscript (2) will denote the analytic continuation of the eigenvalue/eigenfunction onto an 'upper' Riemann sheet. (e.g. if $f(\omega) = \ln\omega$, then $f^{(1)} = \ln\omega$ and $f^{(2)} = \ln\omega + 2\pi i$). Then, in the vicinity of a branch cut with branch point having $\lambda_{\ell,m} = \lambda_{\ell+2,m}$, we have

$$\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell,m} = \lambda^{(2)}_{\ell+2,m}, \text{ and} \tag{A1}$$

$$\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell+2,m} = \lambda^{(2)}_{\ell,m}, \ m < \ell \tag{A2}$$

where the principle value is chosen so that the eigenvalue is continuous on a radial contour to the origin. Note that all branch cuts occur between eigenvalues where the ℓ-values differ by 2, and the m-values coincide. The branch cuts in the eigenvalue will then manifest as branch cuts in the radial and angular functions, and as such in the Green function modes.

We now consider the case of the branch cut contribution to G from the discontinuity shared by the $\ell = \ell'$ and $\ell = \ell' + 2$ eigenvalues. We let the branch point be c, with a phase α, and the branch cut run along $a\omega = \zeta e^{i\alpha}$, with $\zeta : |c| \to \infty$. For notational convenience, in this appendix we ommit any s, m or ω indices on quantities and the argument of any function will refer to the phase of the frequency where it is being evaluated. Then the contribution to the Green function from the discontinuity of its modes across this branch cut is

$$\begin{aligned}\delta G &= 2e^{i\alpha}\sum_{\ell,m}\int_{|c|}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t + im\phi}\delta(G_\ell S_\ell S^*_\ell)\mathrm{d}\zeta \\ &= 2e^{i\alpha}\sum_{m}\int_{|c|}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t + im\phi}\left(\delta(G_{\ell'} S_{\ell'} S^*_{\ell'}) + \delta(G_{\ell'+2} S_{\ell'+2} S^*_{\ell'+2})\right)\mathrm{d}\zeta\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2e^{i\alpha}\sum_m \int_{|c|}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t + im\phi}\delta I \mathrm{d}\zeta,$$

where the last equality is just the definition of δI. Note that the $\ell \neq \ell', \ell'+2$ do not have a disconuity across the cut that we are considering here and so they do not contribute to the $DiscG$ as defined above. Keeping the above identities (A1)-(A2) in mind, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(G_{\ell'}S_{\ell'}S^*_{\ell'}) &= \lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\left(G^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)*}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho) - G^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)S^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)S^{(1)*}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)\right) \\ &= \lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\left(G^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)*}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho) - G^{(2)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)*}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)\right),\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

and likewise for $\delta(G_{\ell'+2}S_{\ell'+2}S^*_{\ell'+2})$. Putting these together gives

$$\begin{aligned}\delta I = &\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\left(G^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)*}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho) - G^{(2)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)*}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)\right) \\ &+ \lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\left(G^{(1)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)*}_{\ell'+2}(\theta+\rho) - G^{(2)}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)*}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)\right) \\ = &\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\left(G^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)*}_{\ell'}(\theta+\rho) - G^{(2)}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)*}_{\ell'}(\theta-\rho)\right. \\ &\left. + G^{(1)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta+\rho)S^{(1)*}_{\ell'+2}(\theta+\rho) - G^{(2)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)S^{(2)*}_{\ell'+2}(\theta-\rho)\right) \\ = &\,0,\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

since everything here is, by definition, continuous going from one Riemann sheet to the other.

It is worth noting here that while there will be no overall contribution from the angular branch cuts, there is nothing to say that we would not perhaps see some artifacts of them for a given ℓ-mode, since it is the sum over ℓ's which is giving the cancellations.

Appendix B: Series coefficients and renormalized angular momentum

In this appendix we give the explicit low-frequency behaviour of the MST series renormalised angular momentum ν and series coefficients a_n for a scalar field in Kerr space-time. We give expansions to order ϵ^4 with the next term expected at $O(\epsilon^5)$ unless otherwise indicated.

1. $\ell \geq 4$

$$\begin{aligned}\nu = \ell &- \frac{\left(15\ell^2+15\ell-11\right)}{2(2\ell-1)(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)}\epsilon^2 + \frac{\left(5\ell^2+5\ell-3\right)mq}{\ell(\ell+1)(2\ell-1)(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)}\epsilon^3 + \\ &\Big[\frac{(-18480\ell^{10}-92400\ell^9-79800\ell^8+235200\ell^7+382305\ell^6-64365\ell^5-278260\ell^4+9955\ell^3+73892\ell^2-8733\ell-3240)}{8\ell(\ell+1)(2\ell-3)(2\ell-1)^3(2\ell+1)^3(2\ell+3)^3(2\ell+5)} + \\ &\frac{(65\ell^4+130\ell^3-211\ell^2-276\ell+126)q^2}{4(2\ell-3)(2\ell-1)^2(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)^2(2\ell+5)} - \frac{3(85\ell^4+170\ell^3-278\ell^2-363\ell+180)m^2q^2}{4\ell(\ell+1)(2\ell-3)(2\ell-1)^2(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)^2(2\ell+5)}\Big]\epsilon^4\end{aligned} \tag{B1a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}a_4 = \frac{(\ell+3)(\ell+4)}{96(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)^2(2\ell+4)^2(2\ell+7)}&\Big[(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(\ell+3)(\ell+4)(1-q^2)^2 - (35+30\ell+6\ell^2)m^2q^2(1-q^2) + m^4q^4 + \\ &2(2\ell+5)\left((5+5\ell+\ell^2)(1-q^2)-m^2q^2\right)imq\kappa\Big]\epsilon^4\end{aligned} \tag{B1b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}a_3 = \frac{(\ell+3)}{24(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)^2(2\ell+5)}&\Big[\left(\left((3\ell^2+12\ell+11)(1-q^2)-m^2q^2\right)mq + \right. \\ &\left.\left(-(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(\ell+3)(1-q^2)+3(\ell+2)m^2q^2\right)i\kappa\right)\epsilon^3 + \left(3m^2q^2-(11+12\ell+3\ell^2)(1-q^2)-6(\ell+2)imq\kappa\right)\epsilon^4\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{B1c}$$

$$a_2 = \frac{(\ell+2)}{4(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)^2}\Big[\left(m^2q^2-(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(1-q^2)-(2\ell+3)imq\kappa\right)\epsilon^2+(-2mq+(2\ell+3)i\kappa)\,\epsilon^3\Big]+$$
$$\frac{1}{48(\ell+1)^2(\ell+2)^2(-2\ell+1)(2\ell+1)^3(2\ell+3)^4(2\ell+7)}\Big[(\ell+1)^2(\ell+2)^2(2\ell+1)^2(129+150\ell+60\ell^2+8\ell^3)m^4q^4+$$
$$(\ell+2)m^2q^2(-20034-69453\ell-39741\ell^2+152557\ell^3+318923\ell^4+271192\ell^5+118400\ell^6+25240\ell^7+$$
$$+1712\ell^8-96\ell^9+(546+4875\ell+18471\ell^2+38963\ell^3+50443\ell^4+41582\ell^5+21832\ell^6+$$
$$7040\ell^7+1264\ell^8+96\ell^9)q^2)-(\ell+1)(2+\ell)^2(-9690-25755\ell+1608\ell^2+86706\ell^3+141666\ell^4+$$
$$111967\ell^5+51458\ell^6+14800\ell^7+2864\ell^8+400\ell^9+32\ell^{10}+11232q^2+33642\ell q^2+7338\ell^2q^2-105372\ell^3q^2$$
$$-201780\ell^4q^2-179840\ell^5q^2-91708\ell^6q^2-28520\ell^7q^2-5728\ell^8q^2-800\ell^9q^2-64\ell^{10}q^2-30q^4-147\ell q^4$$
$$+114\ell^2q^4+2346\ell^3q^4+7170\ell^4q^4+11233\ell^5q^4+10490\ell^6q^4+6040\ell^7q^4+2096\ell^8q^4+400\ell^9q^4+32\ell^{10}q^4)$$
$$+(-(\ell+1)^2(\ell+2)^2(2\ell+1)^2(2\ell+3)(129+150\ell+60\ell^2+8\ell^3)m^2q^2$$
$$+(\ell+2)(2\ell+3)(15822+50229\ell+13368\ell^2-152742\ell^3-289536\ell^4-247747\ell^5-116150\ell^6-30400\ell^7$$
$$-4352\ell^8-400\ell^9-32\ell^{10}+(-30-147\ell+114\ell^2+2346\ell^3+7170\ell^4+11233\ell^5+10490\ell^6$$
$$+6040\ell^7+2096\ell^8+400\ell^9+32\ell^{10})q^2))imq\kappa\Big]\epsilon^4 \tag{B1d}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{2(2\ell+1)}\left(-mq+i\kappa(\ell+1)\right)\epsilon+\frac{1}{2(2\ell+1)}\epsilon^2$$
$$+\frac{1}{8(\ell+1)^2(-2\ell+1)(2\ell+1)^3(2\ell+3)^2(2\ell+5)}\Big[mq(841+1800\ell-1346\ell^2-7157\ell^3-7679\ell^4-3485\ell^5-674\ell^6$$
$$-60\ell^7-8\ell^8-q^2-4\ell q^2+10\ell^2q^2+89\ell^3q^2+219\ell^4q^2+269\ell^5q^2+178\ell^6q^2+60\ell^7q^2+8\ell^8q^2)$$
$$-(\ell+1)^2(2\ell+1)^2(11+9\ell+2\ell^2)m^3q^3+(\ell+1)^3(2\ell+1)^2(11+9\ell+2\ell^2)m^2q^2i\kappa$$
$$-(\ell+1)(511+908\ell-870\ell^2-3835\ell^3-4075\ell^4-1925\ell^5-434\ell^6-60\ell^7-8\ell^8-q^2-4\ell q^2+10\ell^2q^2$$
$$+89\ell^3q^2+219\ell^4q^2+269\ell^5q^2+178\ell^6q^2+60\ell^7q^2+8\ell^8q^2)i\kappa\Big]\epsilon^3$$
$$+\frac{1}{8\ell(\ell+2)(\ell+1)^2(-2\ell+1)(2\ell+1)^3(2\ell+3)^2(2\ell+5)}\Big[(-900-1254\ell+2979\ell^2+8971\ell^3+9163\ell^4+4667\ell^5$$
$$+1310\ell^6+228\ell^7+24\ell^8)m^2q^2-\ell(\ell+2)(841+1800\ell-1346\ell^2-7157\ell^3-7679\ell^4-3485\ell^5-674\ell^6-60\ell^7$$
$$-8\ell^8-q^2-4\ell q^2+10\ell^2q^2+89\ell^3q^2+219\ell^4q^2+269\ell^5q^2+178\ell^6q^2+60\ell^7q^2+8\ell^8q^2)$$
$$-2(\ell+1)(-270-296\ell+747\ell^2+2311\ell^3+2569\ell^4+1425\ell^5+426\ell^6+76\ell^7+8\ell^8)imq\kappa\Big]\epsilon^4 \tag{B1e}$$

while $a_{-i}(\ell) = a_i(-\ell-1)$ for $i = 1\ldots4$. As a_{-1} is of particular importance to our argument below, we give it explicitly

$$a_{-1} = \frac{1}{2(2\ell+1)}\left(mq+i\kappa\ell\right)\epsilon-\frac{1}{2(2\ell+1)}\epsilon^2$$
$$+\frac{1}{8\ell^2(\ell-1)(\ell+1)(2\ell-3)(2\ell-1)^2(2\ell+1)^3(2\ell+3)}\Big[-mq(36-173\ell^2-537\ell^3+1176\ell^4+253\ell^5-478\ell^6-4\ell^7-8\ell^8$$
$$+\ell^2q^2+5\ell^3q^2+4\ell^4q^2-13\ell^5q^2-18\ell^6q^2+4\ell^7q^2+8\ell^8q^2)+\ell^2(2\ell+1)^2(2\ell^2-5\ell+4)m^3q^3$$
$$+\ell^3(2\ell+1)^2(4-5\ell+2\ell^2)m^2q^2i\kappa-\ell(36-66\ell-39\ell^2-243\ell^3+580\ell^4+133\ell^5-238\ell^6-4\ell^7-8\ell^8$$
$$+\ell^2q^2+5\ell^3q^2+4\ell^4q^2-13\ell^5q^2-18\ell^6q^2+4\ell^7q^2+8\ell^8q^2)i\kappa\Big]\epsilon^3$$
$$+\frac{1}{8\ell^2(\ell-1)(\ell+1)(2\ell-3)(2\ell-1)^2(2\ell+1)^3(2\ell+3)}\Big[(\ell-1)(\ell+1)(36-173\ell^2-537\ell^3+1176\ell^4+253\ell^5-478\ell^6$$
$$-4\ell^7-8\ell^8+\ell^2q^2+5\ell^3q^2+4\ell^4q^2-13\ell^5q^2-18\ell^6q^2+4\ell^7q^2+8\ell^8q^2)$$
$$-(-36+72\ell-92\ell^2+575\ell^3-822\ell^4-251\ell^5+386\ell^6-36\ell^7+24\ell^8)m^2q^2$$
$$-2i\ell(-36+96\ell-4\ell^2+23\ell^3-266\ell^4-17\ell^5+118\ell^6-12\ell^7+8\ell^8)mq\kappa\Big]\epsilon^4. \tag{B2}$$

An examination of these expressions shows that they contain terms that are singular when $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$. For example, consider the coefficient of ϵ^3 in $a_{-1}(0) = a_1(-1)$ and ϵ^4 in $a_{-1}(1) = a_1(-2)$. Indeed, from the structure of the

MST recurrence relation one can see that for any given ℓ there are singular terms in $a_{-1}(\ell)$ at order $\ell+3$. In addition, propagation of these singular terms leads to anomalous behaviour even in terms that are finite at order $\ell+1$. Note that comments here relate to the solution with $\nu = \ell + O(\epsilon^2)$, the corresponding singularities for $\nu = -\ell - 1 + O(\epsilon^2)$ first appear in a_1.

In the following subsections we give the behaviour for the low-ℓ terms. As the expansions for $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ contain singular terms we give them in full, while for $\ell = 2$ and $\ell = 3$ we simply give the anomalous contributions.

2. $\ell = 0$, $m = 0$

$$\nu = -\frac{7}{6}\epsilon^2 + \left(-\frac{9449}{7560} + \frac{3q^2}{35}\right)\epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^6) \tag{B3a}$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{525}\left(1-q^2\right)^2\epsilon^4 \tag{B3b}$$

$$a_3 = -\frac{1}{60}i\left(1-q^2\right)\kappa\epsilon^3 - \frac{11}{360}\left(1-q^2\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B3c}$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{1}{9}\left(1-q^2\right)\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{6}i\kappa\epsilon^3 - \frac{1}{4536}\left(943 - 1200q^2 + 5q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B3d}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{i\kappa}{2}\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{360}i\left(331-q^2\right)\kappa\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{360}\left(541-q^2\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B3e}$$

$$a_{-1} = -\frac{2}{9} - \frac{7}{27}i\kappa\epsilon - \frac{1}{5670}\left(3182+51q^2\right)\epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{34020}\left(31723-291q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 - \frac{1}{50009400}\left(73956143 - 583931q^2 + 25673q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B3f}$$

$$a_{-2} = -\frac{1}{9}i\kappa\epsilon + \frac{1}{54}\left(1-7q^2\right)\epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{11340}\left(2559+44q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{34020}\left(9679 - 8838q^2 + 170q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B3g}$$

$$a_{-3} = \frac{2}{81}\left(1-q^2\right)\epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{243}\left(2+7q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{102060}\left(3729 - 4912q^2 - 77q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B3h}$$

$$a_{-4} = \frac{1}{270}\left(1-q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{1620}\left(4+3q^2-7q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B3i}$$

$$a_{-5} = -\frac{2}{4725}\left(1-q^2\right)^2\epsilon^4 \tag{B3j}$$

3. $\ell = 1$, $m = 0$

$$\nu = 1 - \frac{19}{30}\epsilon^2 + \left(-\frac{1325203}{3591000} + \frac{117q^2}{3325}\right)\epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^6) \tag{B4a}$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{1323}\left(1-q^2\right)^2\epsilon^4 \tag{B4b}$$

$$a_3 = -\frac{4}{525}\left(1-q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 - \frac{13}{1575}\left(1-q^2\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B4c}$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{3}{50}\left(1-q^2\right)\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{20}i\kappa\epsilon^3 - \frac{1}{67500}\left(1741 - 2600q^2 + 184q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B4d}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{3}i\kappa\epsilon + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{18900}\left(2447 - 207q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{37800}\left(4442 - 207q^2\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B4e}$$

$$a_{-1} = \frac{1}{6}i\kappa\epsilon - \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{20520}\left(169 - 171q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 - \frac{1}{20520}\left(2335 - 171q^2\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B4f}$$

$$a_{-2} = \frac{5}{4332}\left(109+5q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 - \frac{1}{25992}\left(5341 - 1826q^2 - 95q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B4g}$$

$$a_{-3} = -\frac{25}{722}\left(1-q^2\right)\epsilon^2 - \frac{5}{228}\left(1-q^2\right)i\kappa\epsilon^3 - \frac{1}{65681784}\left(10140442 - 7387693q^2 - 478449q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B4h}$$

$$a_{-4} = -\frac{25}{2166}\left(1-q^2\right) i\kappa\epsilon^3 + \frac{5}{12996}\left(4-23q^2+19q^4\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B4i}$$

$$a_{-5} = \frac{3}{1444}\left(1-q^2\right)^2\epsilon^4 \tag{B4j}$$

4. $\ell = 1,\ m = \pm 1$

$$\nu = 1 - \frac{19}{30}\epsilon^2 + \frac{18}{95}mq\epsilon^3 - \left(\frac{1325203}{3591000} - \frac{17947q^2}{3600975}\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5a}$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{158760}\left(\left(120-311q^2+192q^4\right) + \left(154-168q^2\right) im\kappa q\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5b}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{3150}\left((26-27q^2)mq + (-24+33q^2)i\kappa\right)\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{3150}\left((-26+29q^2) - 18i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5c}$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{100}\left((-6+7q^2) - 5i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{100}(-2mq+5i\kappa)\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{810000}\left((-20892+38225q^2-147q^4) + 5(-7055+21q^2)i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5d}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{6}\left(-mq+2i\kappa\right)\epsilon + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{37800}\left((-4442+9q^2)mq + 2(2447-9q^2)i\kappa\right)\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{1436400}\left(168796+57021q^2-69366i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5e}$$

$$a_{-1} = \frac{1}{6}(mq+i\kappa)\epsilon + \frac{1}{114}\left(-19+15q^2+15i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{7407720}\left((-131765+201888q^2)mq + (61009+201888q^2)i\kappa\right)\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{18719308440}\left((-2130096745+4509021765q^2-340902270q^4) + (4050386427-340902270q^2)i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5f}$$

$$a_{-2} = -\frac{545}{4332}\left(q^2+i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^2 - \frac{5}{1563852}\left((-78698+16142q^2)mq + (-39349+16142q^2)i\kappa\right)\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{711333720720}\left((-146169336810-195368785331q^2+2456535750q^4) + (-175402629821+2456535750q^2)i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5g}$$

$$a_{-3} = \frac{25}{722}mq\epsilon - \frac{25}{260642}\left(481q^2+361\right)\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{11855562012}\left(2377318931-488743146q^2\right)mq - \frac{5}{228}\left(1+2q^2\right)i\kappa\right)\epsilon^3 - \frac{\left((1321512541882+563785252722q^2+114839191503q^4) + (138314890140q^2-1057120946070)i\kappa mq\right)}{8559715772664}\epsilon^4 \tag{B5h}$$

$$a_{-4} = -\frac{25}{4332}q(q-2i\kappa m)\epsilon^2 + \frac{25}{1563852}\left(\left(722+481q^2\right)mq - 2\left(361+481q^2\right)i\kappa\right)\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{142266744144}\left((218939280-3914221559q^2-1101263979q^4) + (8760451258-917356782q^2)i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5i}$$

$$a_{-5} = \frac{1}{2888}q\left(m\left(-6+7q^2\right) - 5i\kappa q\right)\epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{1042568}\left((2166-363q^2-3367q^4) + (3610+2405q^2)i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5j}$$

$$a_{-6} = \frac{1}{90972}\left((26-27q^2)q^2 + (-24+33q^2)i\kappa mq\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B5k}$$

For $\ell = 2$ and $\ell = 3$ the $l \geq 4$, expansions to order ϵ^4 contain only finite terms but certain terms do not correspond to the correct answer (obtained by setting l to its appropriate value at the beginning of the calculation) – this feature already occurred in Schwarzschild space-time in [23]. In the cases given below, the terms Δa_i must be added to the general l expansions of Subsection B 1 to obtain the correct values. In all other cases, the general l expansions yield the correct values to this order.

5. $\ell = 2,\ m \neq 0$

$$\Delta a_{-1} = -\frac{7}{11376} mq\left(\left(1-q^2\right)+m^2q^2\right)(mq+2i\kappa)\epsilon^4 \tag{B6a}$$

$$\Delta a_{-2} = -\frac{7}{2844} mq\left(\left(m^2+2\right)q^2+3im\kappa q-2\right)\epsilon^3 - \frac{7}{224676}\left(9m^2\left(m^2+2\right)q^4+27im^3\kappa q^3-\left(255m^2+158\right)q^2-474im\kappa q+158\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B6b}$$

$$\Delta a_{-3} = \frac{7}{2844} mq\left(\left(m^2+2\right)q^2+3im\kappa q-2\right)\epsilon^3 + \frac{7}{224676}\left(9m^2\left(m^2+2\right)q^4+27im^3\kappa q^3-\left(255m^2+158\right)q^2-474im\kappa q+158\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B6c}$$

$$\Delta a_{-4} = -\frac{7}{4493520} mq\left(\left(339-360m^2\right)q^2-367\right)(mq+2i\kappa)\epsilon^4 \tag{B6d}$$

$$\Delta a_{-5} = -\frac{49}{449352} mq\left(\left(m^2-4\right)q^2+4\right)\left(\left(m^2-1\right)q^2+1\right)\epsilon^3 - \frac{49}{35498808}\left(\left(-305m^4+1041m^2-316\right)q^4+\left(632-1113m^2\right)q^2-316\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B6e}$$

$$\Delta a_{-6} = \frac{49}{4493520} mq\left(\left(m^2-4\right)q^2+4\right)\left(\left(m^2-1\right)q^2+1\right)(mq-3i\kappa)\epsilon^4 \tag{B6f}$$

6. $\ell = 3,\ m \neq 0$

$$\Delta a_{-3} = -\frac{1}{40560} mq\left(m^3q^3+6im^2\kappa q^2+11m\left(q^2-1\right)q+6i\left(q^2-1\right)\kappa\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B7a}$$

$$\Delta a_{-4} = \frac{1}{40560} mq\left(m^3q^3+6im^2\kappa q^2+11m\left(q^2-1\right)q+6i\left(q^2-1\right)\kappa\right)\epsilon^4 \tag{B7b}$$

[1] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).
[2] F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2141 (1970), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.2.2141.
[3] B. Whiting, Journal of Mathematical Physics **30**, 1301 (1989).
[4] J. B. Hartle and D. C. Wilkins, Commun. Math. Phys. **38**, 47 (1974).
[5] M. Casals, S. E. Gralla, and P. Zimmerman, arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.08505 (2016).
[6] E. Poisson, A. Pound, and I. Vega, Living Rev. Rel. **14**, 7 (2011), 1102.0529.
[7] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, M. R. Abernathy, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102.
[8] R. H. Price, Phys. Rev. **D5**, 2419 (1972).
[9] R. H. Price, Phys. Rev. **D5**, 2439 (1972).
[10] E. W. Leaver, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 384 (1986).
[11] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 10 (2000), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.10.
[12] S. Hod, Physical Review D **61**, 064018 (2000).
[13] L. Barack and A. Ori, Physical review letters **82**, 4388 (1999).
[14] L. Barack, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 024026 (1999), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.024026.
[15] S. Aretakis, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **19**, 507 (2015), 1206.6598.
[16] S. Aretakis, Journal of Functional Analysis **263**, 2770 (2012).
[17] A. Zengino?lu, G. Khanna, and L. M. Burko, Gen. Rel. Grav. **46**, 1672 (2014), 1208.5839.
[18] A. Le Tiec, E. Barausse, and A. Buonanno, Physical review letters **108**, 131103 (2012).
[19] M. Casals, S. Dolan, A. C. Ottewill, and B. Wardell, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 044022 (2013), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.044022.
[20] M. Casals and A. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 111101 (2012), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.111101.

[21] M. Casals and A. C. Ottewill, Phys.Rev. **D87**, 064010 (2013), 1210.0519.
[22] M. Casals and A. Ottewill, Phys.Rev. **D86**, 024021 (2012), 1112.2695.
[23] M. Casals and A. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 124055 (2015), URL `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.124055`.
[24] M. Casals and A. C. Ottewill, arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.03423 (2016).
[25] S. Mano, H. Suzuki, and E. Takasugi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **95**, 1079 (1996).
[26] M. Sasaki and H. Tagoshi, Living Rev. Rel. **6**, 6 (2003), gr-qc/0306120.
[27] S. A. Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. **185**, 635 (1973).
[28] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and M. Casals, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 024013 (2006), gr-qc/0511111.
[29] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and M. Casals, Physical Review D **73**, 109902 (2006).
[30] B. E. Barrowes, K. O'Neill, G. T. M., and J. A. Kong, Studies in Applied Mathematics **113**, 271 (2004).
[31] G. Doetsch, *Introduction to the Theory and Application of the Laplace Transformation* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1974), ISBN 978-3-642-65690-3, URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-65690-3_36`.
[32] E. S. C. Ching, P. T. Leung, W. M. Suen, and K. Young, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 2118 (1995), gr-qc/9507035.
[33] DLMF, *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*, http://dlmf.nist.gov/, Release 1.0.5 of 2012-10-01, online companion to [40], URL `http://dlmf.nist.gov/`.
[34] E. W. Leaver, J. Math. Phys. **27**, 1238 (1986).
[35] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover Publications, 1972).
[36] P. T. Leung, A. Maassen van den Brink, K. W. Mak, and K. Young (2003), gr-qc/0307024.
[37] C. Kavanagh, A. C. Ottewill, and B. Wardell, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 124038 (2016), 1601.03394.
[38] A. Castro, J. M. Lapan, A. Maloney, and M. J. Rodriguez, Classical and Quantum Gravity **30**, 165005 (2013).
[39] B. P. Abbott et al. (Virgo, LIGO Scientific), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 241103 (2016), 1606.04855.
[40] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, eds., *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2010), print companion to [33].